A Review of Pre-Homo Human Evolution

> CHARLES J VELLA PHD 2019

Charlie Vella PhD, Docent, California Academy of Science, 2nd 4th and 5th Mondays, 10AM to 1PM



My background

- My name is Charles J. Vella, PhD and I am a neuropsychologist and an amateur human evolution enthusiast.
- I received my PhD in Psychology at UC Berkeley and I worked at Kaiser Hospital, Dept. of Psychiatry for 35 years as Chief Psychologist and Director of the Neuropsychology Service. I am an expert in most brain related psychological topics and do public lectures in this area.
- Since my retirement in 2009, I have been an active docent at the California Academy of Science, specializing in the area of human evolution.
- I am not an anthropologist, but I have become an amateur expert in the field of human evolution.
- In the last 10 years, I have read extensively on most of the topics in human evolution, taken 8 online courses on this topic, and have taught a variety of docent classes in this area at the Academy and an 2018 OLLI course on pre-Homo hominin evolution.

2018: Human Evolution, The first 150 years of discovery www.charlesjvellaphd.com: Pds (Adobe reader) are available online Two versions: Full & actual lecture (briefer) Week 1: A Historical Biographical Review of Paleoanthropology to 1960 Week 2: General Evolution (belief in evolution, creationism, processes) Week 3: Basics of human evolution (dating techniques, fossilization, etc.) ► Week 4: Basics of human evolution 2 ► Week 5: Early Hominins: Sahelanthropus, Orrorin, Ardipithecus ► Week 6: The Australopithecines

The Evolution of *Homo:* Discoveries of the Last 60 years.

- This course was conceived as an attempt to cover the history of the study of Human Evolution.
- There is so much information to cover, that it was originally conceived as a two part course. Part 1 was the pre-Homo hominin class given in 2018.
- Given the amount of material to cover, OLLI suggested I do a once a month workshop for a year.
- This workshop will cover The Evolution of Homo: Discoveries of the Last 60 years.
- The first three sessions are free.
- ► I hope you will consider joining us for the full year series.

Downloads of workshop material and pdfs of lectures

www.charlesjvellaphd.com

OLLI Human Evolution Pre-Homo Class 2018 – 6 classes: pdfs of all

Papers also available on website:

Human Evolution Bibliography

Glossary of Evolutionary, Anatomical and Paleontological Terms

- List of all Major Hominins Table
- Continued Human Evolution examples
- Who's Who in Hominid Evolution short identifications
- Original Hominid Species Type Journal Articles

Rules of the game

I need to cover a lot of material, so this course is primarily a series of lectures with some time for discussion.

- 1 During first session, I would like you to write out for me what you hope to get out of this course: topics, people, etc. Anything you would like me to cover.
- 2 If you do not understand terminology I use, let me know. I will define. If you do not understand it, probably a number of people do not.
- 3 If you ask a question about a topic that I will cover more fully later, I will let you know.
- 4 Please let me know if you are concerned about anything about the course, in person, or by email: charlesvella@comcast.net

Issue of number of slides per lecture

I love Powerpoint. I love slides. Usually do 200-300 in 2 hours.

I normally use a slide to make 1 or 2 points then go to next slide, no matter how much material is on slide.

The rest is for reading in pdf of lecture.

This project

- I have been a docent at California Academy of Science since 2009. I specialize in hominin evolution
- I wanted to offer all the information that I wanted to have when I began docenting, and did not learn until later.
- This is my personal compendium (but accuracy confirmed via textbooks & courses)
- There is much I know about human evolution, but also much I do not know. If I do not know something, I will tell you. It may be that you know it and can contribute.
- Please note any factual errors for me
- My pronunciation of French is nonexistent!

Acknowledgements

- Thanks to Bernard Wood, Erik Trinkaus, Pat Shipman, Ian Tattersall, Wikipedia, Don Johanson, UC Berkeley's online Evolution website
- Many Photos: David Brill, Great Courses, Online courses
- See Bibliography for sources
- Recommended Reading: All the works by Ian Tattersall of AMNH
 - ► The Fossil Trail
 - Masters of the Planet
 - ► The Strange Case of the Rickety Cossack
- ► John Reader
 - Missing Links

Human Evolution online courses I have taken

- Center for Cognitive Archaeology, Univ. of Colorado: Full semester courses
 - Neandertal Cognition Frederick L. Coolidge and Thomas Wynn
 - Paleoneurology Emilio Bruner
 - Cognitive Evolution Coolidge & Wynn
- ▶ Great Courses Lecture series, 2011: *The Rise of Humans* by John Hawks, PhD, Univ. of Wisconsin
- Univ. of WI: Human Evolution: Past and Present by John Hawks
- Wellesley College: WellesleyX: ANTH207x Introduction to Human Evolution by Adam Van Arsdale, 2015
- Multiple online video documentaries on human evolution by well known researchers
- Carta online lecture series:
 - Ancient DNA and Human Evolution
 - Origins of Genus Homo
 - Behaviorally Modern Humans: The Origin of Us
 - Early Hominids
 - The Rise and Fall of Homo erectus
 - The Origin and Fate of the Neanderthals

Human Evolution Course

 We will learn about the major researchers who have shaped our understanding of human evolutionary history,

- We will visually explore the human fossil record.
- We'll talk about how we developed knowledge from the human fossil record, and we'll learn about key fossil localities
- And we will try to understand how and why we know the things we know about our evolutionary past.
- We will explore how we came to be human.

Human Evolution: The Evolution of *Homo:* Discoveries of the Last 60 years:

- Month 1 (Feb. 27): Review of pre-Homo human evolution
- Month 2 (Mar. 27): A Historical Biographical Review of Recent Paleoanthropology: 1960 to 2019
- Month 3: (Apr. 24): *Homo habilis*
- Month 4: (May 22): Homo erectus
- Month 5: (Jun 26): Homo heidelbergensis
- Month 6: (Jul 24): Homo neanderthalensis, Part 1

Human Evolution: The Evolution of Homo 2

Month 7: (Aug 28): *Homo neanderthalensis, Homo denisova, Part 2*

- Month 8: (Sep 25): *Homo floresiensis*
- Month 9: (Oct 23): *Homo naledi*
- Month 10: (Nov 27): *Homo sapiens*
- Month 11: (Dec 25): No workshop
- Month 12: (Jan 22): Evolution of the human brain
- Month 13: (Feb 26): Paleogenetics Part 1
- Month 14: (Mar 25): Paleogenetics Part

Evolution: Descent with Modification

 Descent with Modification – each living species has descended, with changes, from other species over time.

Common Descent – all living organisms are related to one another



Natural Selection: 2 observations

Observation 1: Organisms generally have more offspring than can survive to adulthood.

Observation 2: Offspring are not identical. There is variation in their appearance, size, and other characteristics.

Inference: Those organisms that are <u>better adapted to their</u> <u>environment have a greater likelihood of surviving</u> to adulthood and passing these characteristics on to their offspring.

How Much of Your DNA You Share with:



99.9%



Neandertals 99.7%



98.4%



92%



70%





You are related to every living organism on planet Earth



Yeast = 26%

60%

50%

Evolution of antibiotic resistance in real time in a 2' x 4' petri dish

				-					
			Amount	of antibiot	c in each	area			E. coli
0	1	10	100	1000	100	10	1	0	
	tir	mes as mu	ıch antibio	tic. And th	en across	the top of	it		

Harvard Medical School and Technion-Israel Institute of Technology: Bacteria (white) grow up to the boundary where they can no longer survive. Mutants, capable of surviving the higher concentration of antibiotic, appear and invade the new band. Each section requires new mutations.

Evolution is a fact: Bacterial resistance development in 11 days



Reveals how bacteria develop resistance to increasingly higher doses of antibiotics in a matter of 11 days.; Antibiotics: trimethoprim (TMP) or ciprofloxacin (CPR) Child bird: "Mom, why does brother's beak look different than mine?"

Mom: "I always worried you'd ask about this one day."

Mom: "It's a secret, so you can't tell anyone, but your brother's adapted."

Adaptation in Peppered Moth: Classic Example of observable evolution:

Original moth



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Biston.betularia.7200.jpg en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Biston.betularia.f.carbonaria.7209.jpg en.wikipedia.org/wiki/J._B._S._Haldane

 The Peppered Moth is an example of Natural Selection in action discovered by Haldane

• During the Industrial Revolution the light colored trees on which the moth rested became soot-covered.

• This selected against the allele for pale color in the population (which were poorly camouflaged from predators) and selected for the dark color allele.

Peppered Moth

• Which moth will the bird catch?





But evolution continues on...Reversal in Pepper Moths after the Industrial Era in England



Decline of dark peppered moths around Leeds, England.

- Britain cleaned up their air in the late 1900s, and trees went from dark to light.
- Black became a liability. Dark moths declined.

Picture that got



The March of Pro Early Man volume



Evolution



ted by Rudolph Zallinger;

Misconception: Evolution as single line

Wrong: Hominid evolution progressed along a single linear track directly from primitive ancestor to modern form.

Wrong: Evolution is unidirectional; progression to higher form

Correct: Most evolutionists assert that:
<u>hominids evolved several branches (more like a bush than a stick)</u>
<u>some of these branches lived at the same time and in the place.</u>

Hominin evolution

Evolution is biological change over time.

All species alive—including humans—evolved from ancestral species.

The major process responsible for the evolution of adaptive change is natural selection.

Natural selection is blind; it is not directional.

None of our ancestors were trying to be us.

Hominin evolution 2

Natural selection is about survival to reproduce.

Evolution doesn't follow a straight line.

Our evolutionary history is littered with many branches, experiments and adaptations.

Today, all species of Homo have disappeared except for one: us.

New paradigm

The Human Evolution story: a review of what's coming in this course

- Once upon a time, the story of our species' evolution was simple.
- A tale of a short, hairy, chimp-like creature living in Africa that gradually, over millions of years, transformed to become human.
- ▶ Now we know it's more interesting than that.

Our ancestors were just one of many pre-human species living across a wide swath of the globe from South Africa to the Far East. They intermingling and sometimes interbreeding. Today we alone remain.

Over the past 50 years, almost every part of our story, every assumption about who our ancestors were and where we came from, has been called into question.

> Who are you? How the story of human origins is being rewritten by Colin Barras, New Scientist, Aug 2017

Prior to 1959

► 1820 to 1958: Major historical hominin discoveries included:

Neanderthal and modern humans in Europe

Homo erectus in Java and China

Australopithecines in South Africa

Archaic humans in Africa

The New picture

Since 1959, there have been a succession of spectacular fossil finds.

The new species have upending what we thought we knew about the evolution of key traits such as bipedalism and brain expansion.

Studies of ancient DNA : how different species are related and to track their migration across continents. Discovery of pre-human genes in our own genome – we are related to Neanderthals and the mysterious Eurasian pre-humans called Denisovans.

Once upon a time, the human story seemed relatively straightforward. It began roughly 7 million years ago, somewhere in an east African forest, with an ape who was our LCA. Some of its descendants would change into modern chimps and bonobos. Others left the forest for the savannah. They learned to walk on two legs and, in doing so, launched our own hominin lineage.

New data

- By 4 Ma, the bipedal apes gave rise to a primitive group called the australopithecines, who may be our direct ancestors.
 - The most famous of them, named Lucy, was discovered in 1974 and has been given <u>arch-grandmother status</u>.
- By 2 million years ago, the Homo group developed larger brains and longer legs to become the earliest "true" human species.
 - Homo erectus used its long legs to march out of Africa.
- Other <u>archaic humans</u> continued to <u>evolve larger brains</u>, with new waves of these <u>bigger-brained species migrating out of Africa</u> over the next million years or so, eventually giving rise to the <u>Neanderthals</u> of Eurasia.

New look

Those early migrant lines were all dead ends.

The biggest brains of all evolved in those hominins who stayed in Africa; these gave rise to anatomically modern <u>Homo sapiens</u>.

Until recently, the consensus was that our great march out of Africa began 60,000 years ago and that by 30,000 years ago, every other contender was extinguished.

Only H. sapiens remained – a species with a linear history stretching some 6 million years back into the African jungle.

Or so we thought.

Revolution in human evolution research: from a line to a bush

When I first started learning about human fossils in East Africa nearly 60 years ago, the conventional wisdom was that almost all of our extinct close relatives were considered direct ancestors.

But the discovery of <u>multiple evolutionary branches who lived at the</u> <u>same time</u> makes it much more <u>difficult to identify our direct ancestors</u>.

In 1964, the path lead from <u>Australopithecus to Homo erectus to Homo</u> <u>Neanderthalensis to Homo sapiens</u>; all were <u>assumed to be ancestral</u> to modern humans.

Revolution in human evolution research 2

When Louis and Mary Leakey discovered hominins at Olduvai Gorge in Tanzania, a shift occurred in the focus of research on early hominins that lived more than one million years ago from southern Africa to East Africa

The focus changed because

- trickle of fossil discoveries in East Africa in the early 1960s turned into a torrent
- and the fossil evidence in East Africa was dateable, unlike those of S. Africa

From <u>2.3 to 1.4 Ma</u>, <u>two very different kinds of hominins</u>— <u>Paranthropus boisei</u> and <u>Homo habilis</u>— lived in the <u>same region of East Africa</u>.

Either 1 or both was not ancestral to modern humans.
Revolution in human evolution research 3

- The image of a single, simple branch no longer seems apt for representing humans a couple of million years ago. Our early <u>ancestry looks more like a bundle</u> <u>of twigs</u>— or a <u>tangled bush</u>.
- Yet we still have much to learn.
 - Some <u>chapters of the human story are completely unknown</u> from the fossil record;
 - others have been drafted on the basis of evidence so scanty that they are little more than speculation.
- 55 years ago, human fossils could fit in a box

Today: From skeletons to teeth, early human fossils have been found of more than <u>6,000 individuals</u>.

Revolution in human evolution research 4

Whether before or after standing on two legs, at some stage our ancestors came down from the trees.

Lucy shows up in 1974, dated at 3.2 Ma.

By 2000, we knew of just one group that fitted the transition stage to humans: the australopithecines

They lived in the right place at the right time to have evolved into humans just before 2 million years ago.

Australopithecus afarensis & Kenyanthropus platyops

- Since Lucy's discovery, <u>she has served as the assumed</u> foundation stone on which to build the rest of our hominin family tree, a direct ancestor who lived in east Africa's Rift Valley.
- Then, in 2001, researchers unveiled a 3.5-million-year-old skull was discovered in Kenya. The skull should have belonged to Lucy's species, A. afarensis, the only hominin species thought to be living in east Africa at the time.
- But its face didn't fit. It was so flat that it could barely be considered an australopith. Fred Spoor & Meave Leakey at Stony Brook University in New York, gave it a new name: Kenyanthropus platyops.





Who was ancestral to us

The suggestion that <u>Lucy's species shared east Africa with a</u> <u>completely different type of hominin</u> seemed only of marginal interest. The <u>potential significance of *Kenyanthropus* began to grow</u>.

Some researchers <u>dared suggest that K. platyops was more closely</u> related to us than any australopithecus species.

The conclusion pushed Lucy on to a completely different branch of the family tree, robbing her of her arch-grandmother position.

Who was ancestral to us

- Other researchers were making a similar attack from a different direction
- The discoverers of Orrorin tugenensis, the 6-million-year-old hominin found in 2001, also concluded that its anatomy was more human-like than that of the australopiths.
- Most of the research community remains unconvinced by these ideas.
- A recent announcement that <u>a human-like jawbone 2.8 million years</u> old had been discovered at Ledi-Geraru, Ethiopia <u>once more disputed Lucy's position</u>.

Intriguingly, in 2015, a team announced the <u>discovery of the oldest known stone</u> tools.

Who was Ancestral to us

The 3.3-million-year-old artefacts were found in essentially the same deposits as Kenyanthropus. Was Kenyanthropus the tool-maker?

But there is <u>circumstantial evidence that some australopiths used stone</u> <u>tools too</u>.

In any event, <u>determining which hominins evolved into humans is no</u> longer as clear-cut as it once was.

Dmanisi

The <u>"Out of Africa" story is also being shaken up:</u>

This idea assumes that the only hominins to leave Africa were bigbrained humans with long legs ideally suited for long-distance travel, likely <u>H. erectus</u>

But in 2002, a 1.75-million-year-old human skull, with small cranial capacity of 600 cc, was discovered. Such a fossil wouldn't be an unusual find in east Africa, but this one turned up at <u>Dmanisi in</u> Georgia, in the Caucasus region. <u>Clearly, some small-brained hominins</u> had left Africa.

The Dmanisi hominins are now considered <u>small-brained early</u> versions of *H. erectus*.

The Out of Africa vs Multiregionalism debate

Multiregionalism (regional continuity) states that <u>all archaic</u> <u>human forms (H. erectus</u>, Neanderthals, and modern forms), <u>evolved</u> <u>worldwide into the diverse populations of anatomically modern</u> <u>humans</u> (*Homo sapiens*) via genetic drift, gene flow and natural selection

- Associated with Franz Weidenreich, <u>Milford H. Wolpoff</u>, Alan Thorne and <u>Xinzhi Wu</u>
- Not polygenism i.e. separate or parallel, multiple origins for different populations
- Most reject this model, but held by some nationalistic Chinese anthropologists

Leading current theory is the "Out of Africa" theory of origin of AMHs; a single replacement model from Africa.

Flores

A discovery in 2003 would ultimately prove far more problematic.
On the Indonesian island of Flores two bizarre skeletons were found
Had small body (3 feet tall) & brain.
It was named <u>Homo floresiensis</u>, better known by its nickname: <u>the hobbit</u>. Originated dated to 16 Ka, now 600-60 Ka.

One hypothesis about the hobbit: possibility that <u>a very early migration out</u> of Africa involved an australopith-like hominins.

The <u>entire out-of-Africa narrative is now flux</u>, with genetic and fossil evidence suggesting that even the <u>once widely held opinion that our</u> <u>species left Africa only 60,000 years ago may be wrong</u>.

Humankind's Journey out of Africa: MHs

Now the story is changing in light of new research

Recent findings suggest that the 'Out of Africa' theory does not tell the full story of our ancestors.

Instead, multiple, smaller movements of *H. sapiens* out of Africa beginning 270,000 years ago were then followed by a final major migration 60,000 years ago.

Most of our DNA is made up of this latest group

H. sapiens remains have been found at sites in Germany at 270 Ka, India at 170 Ka, and China circa 120 Ka

Out of Africa & 2 misfits

Modern humans reached Southeast Asia and Australia prior to 65,000 years ago.

Recently two more weird misfits had come to light, both in South <u>Africa.</u>

Australopithecus sediba and Homo naledi are quite unlike any hominin discovered before, says Lee Berger at the University of Witwatersrand in South Africa, who led the analysis of both.

Out of Africa: Sediba & Naledi

Their mosaic skeletons seem almost <u>cobbled together from different</u> parts of unrelated hominins.

Their mosaic mixtures have lead to the conclusion that you can no longer predict the whole fossil from one of its parts.

Significantly, the mishmash of features in the A. sediba skeleton, unveiled in 2010, is very different from those in the H. naledi skeleton, unveiled in 2015.

Historical assumption that ape-like species gradually morphed into human-like ones over millions of years is now questionable.

Complexity of human evolution: Homo naledi

- In reality, Berger thinks, there may have been a variety of evolutionary branches, each developing unique suites of advanced human-like features and retaining a distinct array of primitive ape-like ones.
 - We were trying to tell the story too early, on too little evidence. It made great sense right up until the moment it didn't.
- In 2017, the age of the *H. naledi* was dated to 236,000 to 335,000 years old.
- Weeks later, news broke that 300,000-year-old fossils from Morocco might belong to early members of *H. sapiens*, extending our lineage by 100K.
- Was multiregionalism happening in Africa? Almost certainly.

Braincase changes in Jebel Irhoud 1 (300 Ka) to Qafzeh 9 (95 Ka)



Trends in Ecology & Evolution

Figure 1. Evolutionary Changes of Braincase Shape from an Elongated to a Globular Shape. The latter evolves within the *H. sapiens* lineage via an expansion of the cerebellum and bulging of the parietal. (Left) Micro-computerized tomography scan of Jebel Irhoud 1 (~300 ka, North Africa). (Right) Qafzeh 9 (~95 ka, the Levant).

Small and Large brains together: Homo naledi

- Human brains didn't grow and grow for millennia, with smaller-brained species falling to the wayside of the gradual evolutionary road.
- Africa was home to both large brained *H. sapiens* and humans with brains half the size of theirs.
- Can only speculate on how (or whether) the small-brained H. naledi interacted with the earliest H. sapiens.
- Controversial theory from Berger's team suggests that *H. naledi* intentionally <u>disposed of its dead</u> – perhaps a sign that <u>even "primitive" hominins could behave</u> in an apparently sophisticated way of dealing with their dead

Not as special after all

Our species, Homo sapiens, is special. We have achieved things beyond the capacities of all others in our family tree.

But the distinction between our species and those that went before may not be quite as stark as we once thought.

In 2014, for instance, <u>researchers found</u> a <u>zigzag that had been etched</u> in a shell from 540 Ka at Trinil, Java. We had thought we were the only species to produce abstract symbols, yet here was <u>H. erectus</u> doing so more than 200,000 years before <u>H. sapiens</u> even evolved.

Not so special...Neandertals

Neandertals are getting an intellectual upgrade.

Researchers are also becoming increasingly convinced that <u>Neanderthals had advanced behavior, like using watercraft to reach</u> <u>islands or exploiting simple chemistry to start fires</u>.

Evidence of Neandertal symbolic ability now include a <u>carved a</u> <u>hashtag sign on a rock in Gibraltar, mysterious stone circles out of</u> <u>stalagmites in French cave, and an abstract painting in Spain, dated to</u> <u>65 Ka. The latter makes Ns the first artists in Europe.</u>

Not so special... Homo naledi & Neandertals

- And then there's <u>H. naledi</u>, with a brain size of 465–560 cc, half the size of our own.
- According to the team that excavated its remains, *H. naledi* might have deliberately disposed of its dead in deep, inaccessible cave chambers.
- In the late 1990s, geneticists began to show an interest in archaeological remains. <u>Advances in technology allowed them to sequence a small chunk of</u> <u>mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) from an ancient Neanderthal bone</u>.
- That mtDNA sequence was genetically distinct from *H. sapiens*, initially suggesting that Neanderthals had gone extinct without interbreeding with our species.

Not so special...Ns & Ds

- But <u>mtDNA is unusual</u>. Unlike the nuclear DNA responsible for the bulk of human genetics, it passes intact from a mother to her children and doesn't mix with the father's genes.
- In 2010, Nuclear DNA proved that Neanderthals had interbred with our species after all.
- Then came the <u>Denisovans</u>.
- ► To this day, the **Denisovans remain enigmatic**:
 - one finger bone and three teeth from a single cave; no skeleton
 - H. sapiens considered them human enough to interbreed with them: a Denisovan nuclear genome sequence published in 2010 showed clear evidence of sex with our species.
 - ► The DNA indicates they <u>once lived all across East Asia</u>.
 - So where are their fossil skeletons?

Not so special... Ns

- Fast-forward to <u>2017</u>, and the interbreeding story has become more complex than anyone could have imagined in 2000.
- Johannes Krause of U. of Tübingen reels off the list:
 - Neanderthals interbred with H. sapiens.
 - Neanderthals interbred with Denisovans.
 - Denisovans interbred with H. sapiens.
 - Something else that we don't even have a name for interbred with Denisovans that could be some sort of *H. erectus*-like group...
 - And the suspicion is that variations of *H. sapiens* were interbreeding throughout Africa
- We all carry different Neandertal bits to the extent that if you could add them all up, Krause says you could reconstitute something like 30 % of the Neanderthal genome and 90 % of the Denisovan genome. With this knowledge, can we even say that these species are truly extinct?
- Pushing the idea one step further, if most living humans are a mishmash of *H. sapiens* DNA with a smattering from other species, is there such a thing as a "true" *H. sapiens*?

And in July 2018...

July 2018: Did Our Species Evolve in Subdivided Populations across Africa, and Why Does It Matter?

Major review article related to multiregionalism in Africa – the interbreeding of multiple early *H. sapiens* groups across Africa.

July 2018: Oldest stone tools outside Africa at 2.1 Ma at Shangchen China, claimed to be made by pre-*H. erectus* hominin?

Not so special...

Having dug ourselves into this paleoanthropologically troubling hole, there's probably only one way to find our way out again.

Keep digging for fossils and probe them for more DNA.

More than 2 Billion year history of Multiple Early Ancestors



Oldest ancestor, Saccorhytus, 540 M: an early deuterostome







A tiny sea creature identified from fossils found in China may be the <u>earliest known step on an</u> <u>evolutionary path that eventually led to the emergence of humans</u> <u>Microscopic, bag-like sea creature, which lived about 540 million years ago.</u> Named Saccorhytus, after the sack-like features created by its elliptical body and large mouth; no anus

Jian Han, et al., Nature, 2017

Mother or uncle of us all?...*Megaconus mammaliaformis*



Figure 1 New Jurassic mammaliaform *Megaconus mammaliaformis.* a, Skeletal reconstruction. b, Holotype counterpart (Paleontological Museum of Liaoning (PMOL)-AM00007B). c, Skeletal feature identification; the left (-1) versus right (-r) sides are designated according to the main-part PMOL-AM00007A (Supplementary Fig. 1). d, Manual terminal phalanges. Details on dental and skeletal structures can be found in Supplementary Figures. C, cervicals; Ca, caudal vertebrae; CMME, preserved elements of cynodont mandibular middle ear²⁴; D, dorsal vertebrae (D1-15 designated as 'thoracic'; D16-24 as 'lumbar'); r, ribs; S, sacral vertebrae; 3-5, the preserved manual terminal phalanges 3-5.

- A Jurassic mammaliaform
- dated to be 165–164 Myr
- hair and fur residue; poisonous spur
- middle ear still attached to the jaw is more reminiscent of reptile,
- but derived molars
- herbivory evolved among mammaliaforms, before the rise of crown mammals

Chang-Fu Zhou, et al., 2013

Agilodocodon scansorius: Chinese Mother of us all?



Fig. 1. Skeleton of the new docodont mammaliaform Agilodocodon scansorius. (A) Reconstruction of Agilodocodon as an arboreal mammaliaform. (B) Outline and (C) photo of the holotype main part of Beijing Museum of Natural History (BMNH) 001138A; counterpart BMNH001138B shown in fig. S1 (I8).

Qing-Jin Meng, et al., 2015



OMNIVORE LIVED 165M YEARS AGO: SCIENTISTS EXPOSE TINY JURASSIC Mammal species in China

Docodontan mammaliaform from the Middle Jurassic of China: an omnivorous diet that included plant sap; 174-163 Ma

Oldest True Mammal Fossil



Liaoning, China: Juramaia sinensis - basal eutherian mammal from the Late Jurassic, 160 Ma; arboreal

Zhe-Xi Luo, et al., 2011

Our Ancestry

From Eucynodontia (cynodonts) came the first mammals (small shrewlike animals that fed on insects; first neocortex; Triassic, <u>220 M</u>>

Eutherian mammal fossil, <u>160 M</u>>Juramaia, Euarchontoglires (Last common ancestor of mice and humans, <u>100 M</u>)

Euarchonta (small, nocturnal and arboreal, insect-eating mammals), Plesiadapiformes, <u>85-65 M</u>>

Primates diverge into 2 suborders Strepsirrhini (wet-nosed primates) and Haplorrhini (dry-nosed primates; lost the ability to make its own Vitamin C; require fruit). <u>63 M</u>>

20 to 10 million years ago: 100s of great apes



Age of apes

- Miocene: 22 to 5 Ma; planet of apes
- Mediterranean was open ocean in East (Tethys Sea)
- Apes lived throughout 3 continents: Europe, Asia, Africa
- Dozens of species
- Fossil record of hundreds of apes; fossil record covers 12-14 M years; very large diversity of fossils; understanding of Miocene ape evolution remains limited because of that fact.
- Some of Ape species: Afropithecus, Kenyapithecus, Ouranopithecus, Oreopithecus, Proconsul, Dryopithecus, Sivapithecus
- 1 was our LCA (Last Common Ancestor)

Our Ancestry

- Haplorrhini splits into infraorders Platyrrhini and Catarrhini. Aegyptopithecus or Saadanius, 30 M>
- Catarrhini (downward nosed primates) splits into 2 superfamilies, Old World monkeys (Cercopithecoidea) and <u>apes</u> (Hominoidea). <u>Proconsul africanus</u>, <u>25 M</u>>
- Hominidae (great apes) split from gibbon (lesser apes), <u>15 M></u>
- Split from ancestor of orangutan, <u>13 M></u>

► Split from ancestor of gorilla, <u>10 M></u>

Current paradigm in study of human evolution

Apes were widespread <u>across Africa</u>, <u>Europe and Asia about 20</u> <u>million years ago</u> – at this time the world really was the Planet of the Apes

Modern humans originated in Africa

Molecular clock (mutation rate in species) indicates separation of both hominins and chimpanzees from LCA around 7 million years ago

How many fossil chimpanzees have we discovered?

First and only chimpanzee fossils, 545 Ka Sally McBrearty and Nina G. Jablonski, 2005





First unequivocal chimp fossils dated to ~ 545 Ka.
Contemporary with *Homo erectus* from the same site.



Nature 437, 105-108 (1 September 2005)

Primate Family Tree



Current Great Apes

A Compar

The resemblances of his living relative ings and table belo to scale, and have l unobscured compa



Hylobatidae









(c)

H. sapiens, A most bizarre <u>species</u>:

- Naked •
- Bipedal
- Brainy •
- Tiny faces & Canines
- Cultural
- No estrus
- Wide range, diet

Our potential ancestry

Hominina, LCA: split from ancestor of chimpanzees, <u>7 M ></u>

- Ardipithecus ramidus, <u>4.4 M></u>
- Australopithecus afarensis, <u>3.3 M></u>
- ?? 2 to 3 Ma is fossil sparse: Homo habilis, 1470, 1813
- Homo erectus, <u>2 M</u>> 75,000 generations ago
- ► Homo antecessor, <u>900 K</u>
- ► Homo heidelbergensis, <u>800 K</u>>

Homo sapiens & Homo neanderthalensis, <u>500 K</u>>
Historical Bomb Shells in Paleontology: Paradigm shifts

- Fossil discoveries that were fundamentally inconsistent with prevailing paradigm about the course of human evolution & responses to them:
- 1856: Neandertal (<u>H. neandertalensis</u>): a Mongolian Cassock with rickets
- ▶ 1891: Java man (*H. erectus*): an ape
- ▶ 1912: *Eoanthropus dawsoni*: Briton with a large brain
- ▶ 1924: Taung child (*A. africanus*): small brain, therefore an ape
- ▶ 1974: Lucy (*A. afarensis*): bipedality at 4 Ma?
- ▶ 1991-2005: Dmanisi (H. erectus): brain too small to be erectus
- 2004: Homo floresiensis: microcephalic H. sapiens?
- 2016: Homo naledi: burial practice in small brained hominin?

► 8-15+ Ma: Planet of the Apes; no hominins

7-8 Ma: LCA (Last Common Ancestor) of chimps and humans lives in forests of Africa

7 Ma: Sahelanthropus tchadensis (discovered in 2002); was the common ancestor of chimps and MHs earlier?

▶ <u>6 Ma:</u> Orrorin tugenensis (2001)

▶ <u>5 Ma</u>: Some early apes come down from trees, stand up on 2 legs

4.4 Ma: Ardipithecus ramidus (2009): walked on 2 legs in forest; hominins first walked in woods; death of savannah hypothesis?

<u>4 Ma:</u> Adaptation to heavily masticated diets; These hominins give rise to australopiths, including Lucy, *Australopithecus afarensis (1974);* is Lucy our arch-grandmother?

3.5 Ma: Kenyanthropus platyops (2001): contemporary of Lucy; closer to MHs than australopiths?



<u>3 Ma</u>: Diversification of hominin species. Some evolve larger brains and longer legs; 3 to 2 Ma is mystery period – origins of *Homo* occurred then

<u>2.8 Ma</u>: Taung child, Australopithecus africanus, (1924) discovered

<u>2.1 Ma</u>: <u>Shangchen, China stone tools (2018) - early Homo</u>

<u>2 Ma</u>: African hominins continue to increase brain & body size (*Homo habilis*), Oldowan technology, and large mammal butchery; and some leave Africa for Eurasia (*Homo erectus*)

- 1.9 M & 2.4 Ma: artifacts and stone tool—cutmarked bones from Ain Boucherit, Algeria
- <u>2 Ma</u>: Australopithecus robustus (1938) arises
- 1.8 Ma: <u>Australopithecus sediba</u> (2010): 2 skeletons show mosaic (ancestral & derived) features, that were previously attributed to different species
- 1.8 Ma, Eurasia: Dmanisi (2002): This <u>H. erectus</u> found in Georgia; indicates that small brained hominins left Africa
- <u>1.8 Ma, Africa</u>: *Paranthropus boisei* (1959) arises

- 1 M to 800 Ka: H. heidelbergensis in Africa and Eurasia
- 600-100 Ka: Homo floresiensis (2003) small brained hominin; 700 Ka stone tools and jaw a dwarfed H. erectus or australopith/early Homo descendant?
- 800 Ka, divergence of Neanderthals and Denisovans from African archaic humans;
- 640 Ka, Eurasia: Neanderthals & Denisovans (2010) diverge: the later a widespread Eurasian species that lived at a time when we thought only Ns and MHs remained
- 300 Ka: DNA evidence (2013) in Denisovan genome suggesting they mated with an unknown earlier species (*H. erectus*?)

300-236 Ka: Homo naledi (2015) - its small brain undermines assumption of ever enlarging brain in hominin group

<u>300 Ka</u>: oldest *Homo sapiens* (2017) discovered in Morocco

<u>200 Ka:</u> anatomically modern Homo sapiens arises in Africa

143 Ka: Homo erectus goes extinct in Southeast Asia

60 Ka: H. sapiens successfully eaves Africa

Simultaneous hominins

- ► At 300Ka, a bush of *Homo* species coexisted:
 - Homo erectus in Asia
 - Homo sapiens in Europe and Africa
 - Homo neanderthalensis in Europe
 - Denisovans in Asia
 - Homo floresiensis in Flores
 - Homo naledi in South Africa
 - Thus six hominin species roamed the planet simultaneously.

Given that the fossil record always underestimates the number of species, we should expect that our current count is an underestimate

Pre-*Homo* Hominins





Note that as many as 4 or 5 species of early hominids were living at the same time. Observe also that, in at least a half-dozen instances, a parental species continued to exist for a lengthy period of time after a daughter species evolved. The arrangement shown here is not accepted by all paleoanthropologists. For instance, there are some who would merge H. erectus and H. heidelbergensis, considering them as one species. Also, there are those who maintain the H. neanderthalensis is a subspecies of H. sapiens while many others disagree. Historical views of human evolution: science has changed

► <u>Historical Views</u>:

Large brain and complex language are unique to modern humans

▶ No. Check out *H. heidelbergensis* and Neandertals brain sizes

Human features (brain size, bipedalism, etc.) emerged together

Bipedalism emerged 7 Ma, large brain size c. 700 Ka
 Variety of MH skull shapes in Africa

Historical views of human evolution: science has changed

Newer ideas:

Major differential for being hominin (closer to us than to chimp): bipedality & small canines & no tooth gap; not large brain

No linear progression of human evolution: now bush, tree model

Multiple hominin species existed at same time:

P. boisei, H. habilis, H erectus at 2 Ma

H. erectus, Neandertal, Denisovans, H. naledi, H. floresiensis, H. sapiens at 300 Ka

Out of Africa: Conclusive DNA evidence of MHs originally evolving in Africa

Milestones in Human Evolution

- Five key traits make us who we are today. These traits are listed in the order of development -- walking upright developed first, etc.
- Bipedalism We get around by walking upright on two legs.
- Tool Making We make and use tools ranging from stone hammers to smart phones.
- Modern Body Plan We have longer legs and shorter arms than other primates.

Big Brain- We have the largest and most complex brain of any primate

Symbolic Thinking - We communicate using symbols such as images, numbers and letters

Hominin Evolution: 5 Major Steps – which hominins?

Bipedalism: Australopithecus afarensis, & possibly in Sahelanthropus tugensis. Orrorin

► <u>Tool Use</u>:

- A. afarensis (3.3 Ma) (Lomekwi 3 site, cut marks at Dikika site) ; A. garhi (2.6 Ma)
- Homo habilis (2 Ma)
- Difference between tool use (chimps do) vs tool making (modifying stones) vs making tools to make tools (MHs)
- Body Plan: Homo erectus (long legs, long distances), but some earlier australopiths
- Bigger Brain: Homo heidelbergensis & neanderthalensis & sapiens
- Symbolic thinking: Homo neanderthalensis & sapiens (c 100K, art, pigments)

Shared, derived traits of modern humans

- Habitual bipedalism
- Chewing apparatus
 - Wide parabolic dental arcade
 - Thick enamel
 - Reduced canines
 - Larger molars in relation to other teeth
- Much larger brains relative to body size
- Slow development with long juvenile period
- Elaborate, highly variable material and symbolic culture, transmitted in part through spoken language

Anatomical Evidence of Bipedalism

Forward placement of foramen magnum Shape of spine Shape of pelvic girdle Bicondylar angle of femur (knock-kneed) Parallel toes (no divergent big toe) Two fixed arches in foot Side to side / front to back



Quadrupedalism Pan troglodytes (modern chimpanzee) practicing knuckle walking

Bipedalism Homo sapiens (modern Human)

Earliest hominins: basic characteristics

- Inclusion in the hominin lineage is largely based on:
 a reduction in canine size
 - absence of the C/P3 honing (shearing) complex (large canines cut food. Upper canines are sharpened against the lower third premolar)
 - Presence of morphological adaptations for habitual or obligate (regular) bipedality generally found in the postcranial skeleton, particularly in the pelvis and hindlimb
 - Bipedality is often considered to be the hallmark of hominins, and <u>its</u> presence in fossil species is often the key to their inclusion in the hominin clade

Hominin characteristics

Cranial characteristics
 Canines: small and incisiform

Forwardly placed foramen magnum: bipedality

Mastoid process (of temporal bone to which neck muscles attach): for bipedality

Parabolic dental arcade

Occasional bipedality & curiosity: SF Zoo gorilla



Judy Reynolds

Terminology: Types of bipedality

Facultative biped: animal that is <u>capable</u> of walking or running on two legs, often <u>for only a limited period</u>, in spite of normally walking on four limbs, i.e. some lizards, chimps

Habitual biped: <u>normal method</u> of locomotion is <u>two-legged</u>.

Obligate biped: Adapted for only walking on two legs, with no ability to walk on four; for example, birds, us

Strident bipedality: walk only on 2 legs

Knuckle walking vs bipedality



Locomotion Positions

Quadrupedalism Pan troglodytes (modern chimpanzee) practicing knuckle walking

Bipedalism Homo sapiens (modern Human)



1st third

Evidence for Bipedalism

- Foramen magnum that points down & is in forward position (the foramen magnum is the opening in the skull through which the spinal cord passes)
- Curved lumbar (lower) spine
- Lengthened lower limbs
- Femur that slants inward toward the knee; Bicondylar angle of femur (knock-kneed); Tibia go straight down to feet
- Neck grove below femur head, held ligament attachment in bipedals, which pushed leg toward middle of body; grove depth increases longer one is bipedal

Strong, robust talus (ankle bone)

Evidence of Bipedalism 2

- Strong big toe that is in line with the other toes, making it supportive and nonopposable
- Extensible knee joint
- Complex two-way arch system in the foot: Side to side / front to back
- Bowl shape of pelvic girdle; Chimps walk with a lot of lateral movement from hips; humans have almost no hip movement or lateral movement as they walk because of type of pelvis
- Upper body weight on hips
- Type of footprint (heel strike to toe)

Advantages of Bipedalism

Upright walking offers these advantages:

- It frees the hands, enabling humans to carry and manipulate objects such as tools.
- It increases the energy efficiency and endurance of humans.
- It is <u>easier to see potential predators and food sources</u> from farther away.
- It increases one's size to better dominate over others.
- The impact of the sun's heat is lessened.

Dentition



Comparison of Chimp (left), A. afarensis (middle), and human (right)



Foramen Magnum, Spinal Cord

Lower limb adaptations



Chimpanzees

Because the connection between the upper thigh and hip bones is short in chimpanzees, the hip muscles cannot contract effectively to provide support for upright walking.

The chimpanzee knee joint is lightly built, so chimpanzees cannot rest their weight on one leg at a time to walk for long periods.

Humans have developed a "closed-knee stance"

"Knock kneed" direction, but strong knee

A comparison of human and chimpanzee pelves.



VS.

Bipedal bowl

© 2002 The Wadsworth Group - a division of Thomson Learning

Knuckle walking back brace

Chimp pelvis: 2 hip blades, vertically up, fused with spine by ligaments back not flexible

East African Rift Valley:

3 plates splitting at 6–7 mm annually







Last Common Ancestor

Tim White: Ar. ramidus reveals that the last common ancestor that we share with chimpanzees (LCA) was probably a:

palmigrade (whole foot down)

arboreal, climber/clamberer that <u>lacked specializations</u> for suspension, vertical climbing, or knuckle-walking

postcanine dentition associated with an omnivorous frugivorous diet

moderate canine dimorphism with minimal skull and body size dimorphism

relatively weak male-male antagonism in a male philopatric social system.

"Phases" of human evolution

- 3 Phases of human evolution:
- Early phase: <u>7-4 Ma</u> Africa Still poorly known Earliest bipeds? Canines reduced. Largely ape-like?
- Australopithecine phase: <u>4-2 Ma</u> Many species, widespread in Africa. Bipedal but still partly arboreal? Early tool use? Still some what apelike?
- Homo phase: <u>2-0 Ma</u> several species; global spread; "Human" anatomy; encephalized (brain larger than body size requirement); greater dietary range; behavioral complexity



Archaeology Is In the Popular Media




Human Evolution Research

The <u>history of human paleontological research</u> has been marked by misfortunes, false hopes, fraud, extraordinary bravery, and good luck.

Until recently, it has been <u>dominated by a handful of ambitious individuals</u>, obsessed with their work and driven by hopes of fame and glory.

The goal has been to find the oldest human ancestor. Each discovery was acclaimed as having iconic significance. Each wanted to name new species.

This history has been marked by intense rivalries, personal feuds, and fierce controversies.

Human Evolution Research 2

Ian Tattersall, a paleoanthropologist emeritus at the American Museum of Natural History, has said that the field often resembles <u>"a swamp of</u> ego, paranoia, possessiveness, and intellectual mercantilism."

Lee Berger: "It's a competitive sport." he said of paleontology.

One scientist stated that his profession was marked by <u>"treachery,</u> <u>cutthroat competition and backstabbing."</u>

But <u>also by increasing scientific professionalism</u>.

Human Evolution

Hominin fossils represent only 1% of fossil finds. Tracing a direct line of ancestry back along this branch is difficult because the fossil record is a patchy mosaic of incomplete skeletons.

Entire species have probably become extinct without leaving a single toe bone for us to dig up in the smattering of places we are looking.

And <u>species that have been discovered are just as likely ancient</u> <u>"cousins" – offshoots of the branch leading to us – rather than our</u> <u>ancestral gggg...grandparents.</u>

Human Evolution

Most hominin fossils have been found in East & South Africa, thanks to Rift Valley & leopards in trees above caves. Incredibly few from West and North Africa.

The fossil record between two and three million years ago – when our oldest Homo ancestors emerged – is particularly sparse, making it one of the least understood parts of human evolution.

Some of the best evidence for evolution itself comes from non-human fossils of pigs, elephants, and antelopes, were there is massive fossil evidence; pig molars have been used to date human fossils

Terms: Hominid vs. Hominin

- Older term: Hominid; Newer term: Hominin
- Hominoid all Great Apes (incl. gibbons, orangutans, gorillas, chimps, bonobos, humans)
- Hominid the group consisting of all modern and extinct Great Apes (that is, modern humans, chimpanzees, gorillas and orangutans plus all their immediate ancestors).
- Hominin the group consisting of modern humans, extinct human species and all our immediate ancestors (including members of the genera Homo, Australopithecus, Paranthropus and Ardipithecus).
- ► The subtribe Hominina is the "human" branch; contains the genus Homo exclusively.

Taxonomic terms

Type specimen: fossil that originated the name of a species; defining the features of that particular taxon. This name takes precedence.

Grade: a group of taxa that share a suite of functionally adaptive features; what you do, i.e. animals that locomote; SUVS; reptiles

Taxon: A taxonomic unit, i.e. a population, or group of populations of organisms which are usually inferred to be <u>phylogenetically related</u> (genealogical family) and which have <u>characters in common</u> which <u>differentiate the unit</u> (e.g. a geographic population, a genus, a family, an order) from other such units. A taxon encompasses all included taxa of lower rank.

Taxonomic terms

Clade: all of the taxa that are <u>descended from common ancestor</u> (i.e. all Ford cars, from 1910 Model T; each car type is a taxa); a <u>phylogenetic group</u>

A genus: a species or "monophyletic group" (clade, 1 ancestor) whose members occupy a single adaptive zone (grade) (not necessarily unique or distinctive, just consistent, more similar to than any other)); a genus needs to be both a grade and a clade; genus name is the first word of a binomial scientific name (the species name is the second word) and is <u>always capitalized</u>; i.e. *Equus, Rosa, Homo*

Rarity of human fossils

Human fossils are exceptionally rare.

Most have been fragments and isolated finds.

Donald Johanson has said that <u>before he found Lucy in 1974 all of</u> the hominid fossils older than three million years could "fit in the palm of your hand."

▶ The fossil remains of only about 6000 individuals have been found.

Number of Hominin fossils

- Total of hominin fossils in world:
 - Sima de los Huesos, Spain, 6500 from 28 individuals;
 - <u>Rising Star</u>, South Africa, 2000 from 18 individuals;
 - Krapina, Croatia, 30 individuals;
 - Sterkfontein caves, South Africa, 800;
 - Lake Turkana, Kenya, 100s
 - MMNH: hominin fossil record includes the remains of more than 6000 individuals, from pre-10 Ka.
 - Olduvai is up to Hominid #82 (teeth to partial skeleton).

Number of Hominin fossils 2

Vast majority are isolated bone fragments; a science of fragments

▶ 90% are isolated teeth

Natural History Museums: 100s of 1000s of modern human skulls

Before A. sediba, only 10 skeletons with craniums associated with postcranial bones; sediba adds 2 more

Fossil sites with skeletons are exceptional: Malapa, Nariokotome, Aramis, Dmanisi, Sterkfontein, Hadar, Woranso-Mille, Olduvai Gorge, and Koobi Fora.

Number of Fossil Hominins 3

More than 200 relatively complete skulls from hominins other than modern humans:

- Series of <u>H. erectus skulls</u>: Sangiran and Ngandong in Indonesia, and Zhoukoudian, China, numbering close to a dozen for each of these areas
- Skulls from sites like Jebel Irhoud, Morocco, Laetoli, Tanzania, and Herto, Ethiopia,

Last index of fossils, 10 years ago: 1800 pages.

Among the least fossils are from 2.5 to 1.8 Ma: rise of Homo period

Homo

- Until lately, evolutionary biologists believed that the genus Homo was distinguished from its apelike forebears by an "adaptive package" that included:
 - bigger brains and bodies,
 - ▶ smaller teeth,
 - ▶ bipedalism,
 - ► tool use.

Recent findings such as *H. naledi* suggest that these features may have arisen independently, in different combinations, in different species, at different times, in different places.

Current Research: use of multiple scientists

- Recent professionalization of paleontology
- What was once the field of fossil hunters, now includes:
 - molecular biologists,
 - ▶ biochemists,
 - ▶ <u>geologists</u>,
 - ▶ geneticists,
 - ▶ paleoclimatologists,
 - ▶ <u>geochronologists</u>

Bernard Wood on Evolutionary Success

- Someone asked Wood and they did not, we hu
- "What do you mean by skull of a hominid called more like an ape than a



that because we survived volved" than Neandertals.

ed, and pointed toward a *isei,* a hominid that looks

"These guys lasted a million years." he said of the *Paranthropus*.
 When Neandertals went extinct around 40 Ka, he said, they likely had been on Earth longer than we have been now. We can start feeling truly superior in about 750,000 years", he said.

"... as we know, there are known knowns; there are things we know we know. We also know there are known unknowns; that is to say we know there are some things we do not know. But there are also unknown unknowns - the ones we don't know we don't know."

> Donald Rumsfeld, former US Secretary of Defense, 2002

> > Photo: US Navy

Also true for fossilization

• Taphonomy is the study of the process from how an individual goes from being a living, breathing organism to something that we might recover in the fossil record.

 This includes <u>decomposition</u>, post-mortem transport, burial, compaction, and other chemical, biologic, or physical activity which affects the remains of the organism.

Taphonomy

•Taphonomy: study of processes of fossilization (literally, "laws of burial"; study of diagenetic processes acting on a dead animal's remains); process of death, eventually decay, or perhaps fossilization, that individuals may go through.

 Diagenesis: sum of the physical, chemical, and biological <u>changes</u> <u>affecting a fossil-bearing sediment; conversion of sediment to</u> <u>sedimentary rock</u>: <u>chemicals from surrounding sediments replace</u> <u>organic material in hard tissue</u>; later chemicals replace inorganic material; so a bone turns into a fossil; <u>most common replacement</u> <u>minerals</u> are calcite, silica, pyrite and hematite

Fossils

Teeth and jaws represent the majority of the fossil record. These are the densest bones in body. Teeth are highly mineralized (partially fossilized already)

Some fossils preserve better than others. Some are not completely intact and are missing portions.

If skull not completely intact, reconstruction requires hypotheses.

Most specimens are small; often on surface; often difficult to identify what part of the skeleton it is or what species.

Gaps and biases in hominin record

Temporal bias in interpretation of lineages: Fossil record back to 6-7 Ma, with majority of fossils in later part of record; many temporal gaps in the record

Differential preservation:

- Predators have preferences for which parts of carcass are eaten the most, i.e. leopards chew hands & feet of monkeys
- Hands & feet are the parts mostly missing in human fossil record
- We know more about <u>fossil teeth</u> than about hands & feet
- Body size: <u>larger</u> more likely to fossilize; will find larger individuals in a taxon more than smaller members

Gaps and biases in hominin record

- Differential body part preservation:
 - Teeth and mandible are the most well preserved,
 - Least preserved: post cranial skeleton (vertebrae, hands, feet)
 - Lighter vertebra swept along in floods into lakes, mixed in with animal fossil bones
 - Heaver skull and jaws fall to bottom of floodwaters, trapped in stones on bed of streams, preserved in sediments

Postdepositional mixing: intermixed bones can be deposited at different times, i.e. bear & N bones found together, but 1 was laid down long after N bones were buried

What are associated with the fossils. Are there signs of butchery/cutmarks? Is there evidence for cutmark vs toothmark vs grinding.

Fossilization: How to fossilize yourself

Mechanisms required:

Protection from elements: sun, water, wind, rain will erode bone; better to be fossilized in a cave or in the ground (burial)

Area with high rate of sedimentation or movement of dirt (river banks, lake edges)

Need rapid sedimentation for burial

Fossils are most commonly found in <u>sedimentary rock</u>.

Fossilization 2

- Fossilization: the biological component of the bone gets leached away into the environment and replaced with mineral components within the soil itself.
 - This diagenesis is essentially turning <u>a bone into a rock</u>.
 - So the process of fossilization is the mineralizing of a bone. What the minerals are determines what the fossil looks like.
- Tropical forests have lots of decay processes and are mostly antithetical to fossilization
- Erosion for discovery: need fossil to erode from ground (often by movement of earth via tectonic action); i.e. <u>Rift Valley</u>

Dating S. African caves vs E. African volcanics

East African volcanics:

use Potassium-Argon (40K-40Ar); Argon-Argon (40Ar-39Ar);
date layer above and below fossil

South African caves:

Classical use of <u>biostratigraphy</u> – spatial and temporal use of well dated faunal fossils to date rock layers

pigs, carnivores, antelopes, rats, voles; but not always exactly same species

Relative dating methods: use of animal fossils

Biochronology. Since animal species change over time, the fauna can be arranged from younger to older. At some sites, animal fossils can be dated precisely by one of these other methods. For sites that cannot be readily dated, the animal species found there can be compared to well-dated species from other sites. In this way, sites that do not have radioactive or other materials for dating can be given a reliable age estimate.

Use of animal remains for dating (biochronology) has been important at S. African cave sites which have antelope and monkey fossils, which have been absolutely dated at key E. African sites. Has also been used in Chad and Dmanisi, Georgia.

Fossil hunting: S. African caves

South African sites are found in caves that form when rain runs through cracks in limestone. These caves fill with soils from rain runoffs.

Leopards use trees at entrances of these caves to hide carcasses & hyenas use caves as dens.

Current theory is that most of S. African hominin fossils were taken there by leopards or hyenas or by bone-collecting animals such as porcupines (bones as chew toys for ever growing teeth).

Relative dating methods: use of animal fossils

- Paleohabitat: dating from types of animal fossils found along with human fossils; esp. micromammals (mice, gerbels) who have restricted ranges; can provide precise habitat reconstruction (i.e. parrots with Ardi means woodland)
- Rely on matching nonhominin fossils found at a site with equivalent evidence from another site that has been reliably dating using absolute methods.
 - Example: Animal fossils at Site A (i.e. E. Africa) are similar to those at Site B (S. Africa).
 - Site A can be assumed to be approximately same age as Site B. Only approximate ages for fossils.

Using pigs and elephants to date

- Evolution of pigs has been so well dated, via stratigraphy (geological strata), that pig molars have been used to date human fossils
- Fossil suid (pig) data have been employed in a refinement of stratigraphic correlations at Omo Shungura, Olduvai, and east of Lake Turkana and in a correlation of East African and South African sites

Famous fight:

- R. Leakey found a fossil (1470), H. rudolfensis, that was dated as 2.9 Ma by Pot/Arg method of KBS tuff
- brought in faunal specialist who looked at associated pig molars and he said it could not be older than 2 Ma;
- turned out there had been an error in original radiometric dating of tuff;
- turned out to be <u>1.8 Ma H. rudolfensis fossil</u>

Fossil hunting: Museum drawers

Museum samples: Some dramatic hominin <u>fossil discoveries are made</u> in old museum collections:

► <u>Spy N</u> child

Complete Neandertal skeleton of baby recovered from site of Le Moustier was sent to Marcellin Boule for age determination. It vanished until new researcher found the bones of a neonate among stone tools from site of Les Eyzies! Luckily bones had original matrix which matched original site.

Dating Method	Basis	Material	Date Range
Relative Age			
Law of Superposition	Older is lower	Just about anything	Just about any time
Stratigraphic Correlation	Like strata in different regions are related to same event	Rocks and fossils	Just about any time
Biostratigraphic (Faunal) Dating	Evolution of animals	Bones and teeth	Just about any time
Chemical Dating	Fossils absorb chemicals, i.e. fluorine in soil	Bones	Less tan 100 Ka
Cultural Dating	Artifacts are time specific	Technology generally	Up to about 2.5 Ma
Numerical Age			
Dendrochronology	Tree growth	Specific tree types	12,000-8,000 yBP
Radiocarbon Dating	Carbon-14	Anything organic	75,000-50,000 yBP
Radiopotassium Dating	Potassium-40	Volcanic rocks	More than 200 Ka
Amino Acid Dating	Racemization	Bones, shells	1 Ma-40 Ka
Fission Track Dating	Fission tracks on rock crystal	Volcanic rock	Up to 3 Ma
Paleomagnetic Dating	Shifts in Earth's magnetic field	Sedimentary rocks	Up to 5 Ma
Electron Spin Resonance Dating	Concentration of radioisotopes	Bone, teeth	Several thousand to more than 1 Ma
Luminescence Dating	Trapped energy	Sediment, stone, ceramics	Up to 800 Ka

Stratigraphic Principles



Deposited "at the same time" is sliding rule: days, weeks, years, etc.

How to determine age in a fossil

Age at death of fossil individual that has finished growing is <u>difficult to</u> <u>determine</u>

Age can be confirmed by <u>microscopic examination of tooth enamel</u>. <u>When tooth enamel grows, it produces tiny growth lines in the enamel</u>. These lines can be counted to give the tooth's age.

Dental development can help with age of immature individual; once all teeth erupted and roots of teeth formed, dental evidence is less useful.

Determining age from Teeth

- Tiny lines are laid down during enamel and dentine secretion, which faithfully record the speed of growth every day as these hard tissues take shape
- Histological age determination





Age from fossil

Skeleton's teeth or lack of teeth:

If the skeleton has <u>wisdom teeth/3rd molars</u>, the person was <u>past the</u> <u>age of 17</u>.

- ▶ If there is significant <u>bone loss</u>, it indicates a <u>more advanced age</u>.
- Permanent teeth in a child's skull indicate that the person reached late childhood.

Fusion of long bones indicate adulthood. Clavicle is last at 25. Tibia at 18.

C3 vs C4 plants: type of plant photosynthesis

Different form of carbon in different plants:

- the ratio of carbon-13 and carbon-12 isotopes in plant tissues is different depending on the type of plant photosynthesis
- this can be used to determine which types of plants were consumed by animals, whether in woodland or grassland
- Indicates <u>tropical</u>, semi-tropical areas
- In plants using the C₃ photosynthetic pathway (most plants; trees & shrubs; fruits); temperate

C3 before 4 Ma vs. C4 after 3.5 Ma

- Before <u>4 Ma</u>, hominins had diets that were <u>dominated by C3 resources</u> (trees & shrubs) and were similar to chimpanzees.
- By <u>3.5 Ma</u>, multiple hominin taxa began incorporating <u>C4</u> foods (grasses) in their diets.
- Overall, there is a trend toward greater consumption of C4 plants in early hominins over time.
- These foods played a role in the evolution of enlarged australopith masticatory robusticity.
- P. boisei not Nutcracker, but C4 grasses, like a zebra
- Early homo C4 from meat (or from animal that originally ate plant)

Founder effect

Most genetically diverse populations are in Africa



Genetic variability is reduced In each new group produced by founder effect: each new group has only the founder's genetic mix
Founder effect via migrations

A founder effect occurs <u>when a new colony is started by a few</u> <u>members of the original population</u>. This <u>small population size</u> means that the colony may have:

reduced genetic variation from the original population.

▶ a <u>non-random sample of the genes in the original population</u>.

For example, the <u>Afrikaner population of Dutch settlers in South Africa</u> is descended mainly from a few colonists.

Current Afrikaner population has an <u>unusually high frequency of the</u> <u>gene that causes</u> <u>Huntington's disease</u>

Human migrations

Bottleneck origin/near extinction: ▶ circa 70 Ka, ► 2000 - 10,000? African MH pairs ▶ not due to super volcano Mt. Toba explosion in Sumatra in 74K; massive climate change? ▶ full diversity of these African MHs, was diluted when small groups left Africa: lead to low genetic diversity elsewhere

Founder effect

► <u>Founder effect</u>:

- the further from Africa, less genetically diverse you are;
- Iose a portion of the original genetic diversity with each move you make;
- so Native Americans have lower diversity than Asians who have lower genetic diversity than Africans

Multiple African Migrations that are currently known

Migrations out of Africa:
2.1 Ma, hominin to Shangchen, China

▶ 1. 8 Ma, *H. erectus* to Dmanisi & China

H. heidelbergensis develop into Neandertals & Denisovans in Europe & Asia

► *H. floresiensis* in Flores, 600 K

MHs in Germany, 270 K

► MHs in India, 170 K

Multiple Migrations 2

► MHs, prior to 100K in South China (MH teeth, 80-120K)

► MHs, 70 K to Levant; probably failed attempt

► Australia, c 65 K

Then AMH migration out of Africa at 50-60K

► MHs to Europe, c 40 K

► MHs to Americas, c 20 K

The 10 major fuller skeletons





KNM-WT 15000 "Turkana Boy" KSD-VP-1/1 "Kadanuumuu" A.L. 288-1 "Lucy" DIK-1-1 "Selam" StW 431 *Au. africanus*



Why did Newt Gingrich recommend this book to all new politicians?



Detailed and thoroughly engrossing account of ape rivalries and coalitions; <u>social and political behavior of</u> <u>chimpanzees</u>

Chimp Machiavellian Intelligence.

Chimpanzees use deception to mate with females belonging to alpha male

Frans de Waal 1982

Molecular clock: How do we find Last Common Ancestor (LCA)

Molecular clock method: use the amount of genetic divergence between 2 organisms to extrapolate backwards to estimate date for LCA. An estimate of divergence times.

This method compares the amount of genetic difference between living organisms and <u>computes an age based on well-tested rates of genetic</u> <u>mutation</u> over time.

It's mainly useful for figuring out how long ago, living species shared a common ancestor, based on their DNA.

Closer to root species, more difficult to recognize

The closer a species is to its speciation event, the more difficult it is to recognize. It will look almost identical to species it originated from. They will look a lot like each other, than to descendants

Fossils of such a species will be difficult to differentiate.

Identifying the first hominins

In Last Common Ancestor (L.C.A.), look for <u>anatomical</u> features shared by humans and living great apes

Starting from there, <u>1st hominins must have evolved at least one</u> <u>feature that we see only in modern humans</u>

Most researchers focus on <u>anatomy related to bipedalism</u>

Large brain size, hard evidence for culture, language, etc., come much later.

LCA characteristics

LCA: current fossil and comparative evidence indicates that the following traits were likely present in Human Chimp LCA:

- Ape-sized brain and body,
- Finger bones would be curved; adapted for climbing
- A grasping foot that allowed it to forage in the trees.
- Limbs adapted to walk both on all fours and on hind limbs alone
- More prognathic/snoutlike face, not flat; elongated jaws
- Modest-sized teeth, large upper incisor teeth
- Canine teeth were probably large and sharp, as seen in several Miocene hominoids.
- Canines were probably sexually dimorphic, with males having much larger canines.
- Relatively long arms and fingers

LCA characteristics 2

The idea that, like living apes, LCA would have <u>walked quadrupedally</u> (on all fours) <u>when on the ground</u>, is now being seriously questioned.

Its diet would have consisted almost entirely of plant foods, primarily fruit and leaves.

The first human-like traits to appear in the hominin fossil record are:
bipedal walking
smaller, blunt canines.

Contenders for Title of Earliest Hominin: 7-4 Ma

Ardipithecus ramidus

- ▶ 1992
- Middle Awash in Ethiopia
- Previously thought to be older than 5 Ma, now dated to 4.4 Ma
- ► Orrorin tugenensis
 - ▶ 2001
 - Tugen Hills in Kenya
- Sahelanthropus tchadensis
 - ▶ 2002
 - Toros-Menalla in Chad
- Ardipithecus kadabba
 - ▶ 2004
 - Middle Awash in Ethiopia

Evolution of hominins & African apes

- LCA of chimp/human per <u>Tim White</u>: palmigrade arborealist, dimorphic canines; forest frugivore/omnivore
- Ardi (~6 to 4 Ma): partially arboreal; facultative (capable, but not usual) biped; feminized (smaller) canines; woodland omnivore
- Australopithecus (~4 to 1 Ma): striding terrestrial biped; postcanine megadontia; Pan-African; Wide niche
- Homo (< 2.5 Ma): enlarged brain; facial/dental reduction; technologyreliant; Old World range

Early australopithecines

Projecting face & broad incisors

- ► <u>Ancestral traits</u>:
- Ape-sized brains

Derived (newer) traits:

– Smaller canines

- Crushing molars

- Effective Bipeds

Climbing abilities

Sexual dimorphism

Number of species: Splitters and Lumpers

Number of current hominin species is controversial; not all researchers recognize the same number of species

Splitters: those who think there are many species; new name for new find

Lumpers: those who recognize fewer species

Splitters and Lumpers

Both are looking at same evidence; just interpret it differently – primarily difference is in interpretation of variation

Those who stress importance of continuities within fossil record, opt for fewer species; often consider them a chronospecies

Those who stress discontinuities within fossil record, opt for more species

Remember that <u>all taxonomies are hypotheses</u>

Climate change

- <u>8 Ma</u>: Africa was mostly <u>thick forests interspersed with rivers and lakes</u>; most <u>primates were tree dwellers</u>
- <u>Astronomical variations</u> caused <u>changes in climate and environment in</u> <u>Rift Valley of Africa</u>
- <u>8 to 5 Ma</u>: the earth experienced beginnings of <u>long-term drying and</u> <u>cooling trend</u> because earth's moisture was locked up in <u>ice sheets</u>, that extended further from north and south poles. <u>Temperatures fell</u>.

Climate change

• Hominin evolution began in Africa at time of these climatic changes.

• Dense forests were gradually replaced with open woodland.

Grasslands began to appear between large patches of trees.

Today's savannahs are recent event.

Environments of African Ancestors

Originally thought that LCA probably lived in dense forest.

 But recent data suggests <u>earliest hominins lived in a mosaic of</u> <u>habitats</u>: woodland, grassland, lakes, and gallery forests along rivers.

<u>No early hominin fossils</u> have been found in an exclusively densely forested habitat.

African Ancestors

Earliest hominins were <u>adapted to both tree and ground living</u>.

Trees provided fruit, nesting sites, protection from predators.

Grassland had new food sources (tubers), while water sources offered fish and mollusks.

Unlikely that they lived in caves (primates do not live in them), despite some fossils being found there.

Significance of late Miocene hominins: Sahelanthropus, Orrin, Ardi

- Pushes back fossil record of hominins by 2-3 million years
 - ► Until early 1990s, earliest hominins were less than 4 Ma, i.e. Lucy
 - Now appears that multiple, diverse hominins may date to late Miocene (8 to 5 Ma)

- Forces rethinking of origins of bipedalism
 - Early hominins appear to have inhabited forested environments, not open savannas
 - This challenges some scenarios for adaptive value of bipedalism
 - Having hands free to use tools no longer seems to be reason for bipedalism since bipedalism predates tool use by 3 My

2001: Sahelanthropus tchadensis, Chad, 7M: Extension of age and spatial range of early hominins



Sahelanthropus tchadensis (Type: TM 266-01-060-1) **Discoverer:** Ahounta Djimdoumalbaye Locality: Toros-Manalla, Chad Date: 2001

Age: <u>6-7M</u>

Sahelanthropus tchadensis

- Sahelanthropus tchadensis ("Toumai") was discovered in Chad, in the southern Sahara desert.
- It is dated at 6 to 7 Ma. Oldest known species in the hominin family tree.
- Toumai is a nearly complete cranium with a very small brain between 320 and 380 cc, comparable in size to that of a chimpanzee.
- A cranium, jaw fragment, and several teeth were found. It has widelyspaced eye orbits and small canines.
- It has both apelike and hominin features.
- This species may be close to the hominin chimpanzee ancestor split.

2001: Sahelanthropus tchadensis, Chad, 7-6 M







Remarkably complete but distorted cranium & 2 mandibles; no postcranials?

Has been virtually remodeled

Largest hominoid browridge ever discovered

Smaller size than Ardi

Foramen magnum shape and forward positioning indicate bipedalism (like Ardi; both upright posture)

<u>Canines</u> smaller and shorter than those of the male chimp; <u>thick enamel</u>

Highly distorted cranium





Did camel herders rebury Toumai facing Mecca?

Toumaī holotype cranium TM 266-01-060-1

Sahelanthropus reconstruction



2000: Orrorin tugenensis 6 Ma - bipedality

Orrorin tugenensis BAR 1000'00 - type

Discoverer: Kiptalam Cheboi

Locality: Tugen Hills, Kenya

Date: 2000

Age: <u>6.2-5.5 M</u> (potassium/argon dating of sandwich layers); <u>6.1-5.8 M</u> (magnetic dating)



1992: Ardipithecus ramidus, 4.4 M





Discoverer: Alamayehu Asfaw Locality: Aramis, Middle Awash, Ethiopia Age: 4.4 M



Type specimen ARA-VP-1/129



Project participant and famous hominid fossil finder Alemayehu Asfaw discovered a hominid lower jaw on February 9, 2006. Photo by Yohannes Haile-Selassie.

Publication: White et al. 2009





Publication:

- 17 years later
- 11 papers; ~250 pages of a single issue of Science in 2009
- Ardipithecus ramidus



Ardipithecus ramidus

In its 2 October 2009 issue, Science presents 11 papers, authored by a diverse international team, describing an early hominid species, Ardipithecus ramidus, and its environment. These 4.4 million year old hominid fossils sit within a critical early part of human evolution, and cast new and sometimes surprising light on the evolution of human limbs and locomotion, the habitats occupied by early hominids, and the nature of our last common ancestor with chimps.





Editorial	C SHARE 📲 🎡 輝
Understanding Human Origins Bruce Alberts Full Text PDF	facebook Read our recent Online Chat
News Focus	Watch the October 1 Press Conference at AAAS Headquarters (free registration required)
A New Kind of Ancestor: Ardipithecus Unveiled Ann Gibbons Full Text PDF Podcast Feature	Science 17, AAAS Members

Copyright T. White, 2008

T. White: Ardi, Middle Awash, 4.4 Ma, 250 pages, 17 years later

Mission to the Pliocene: 47 authors from 10 countries; 11 papers, Science, 10/2/ 2009



Ardipithecus: The ARA-VP-6/500 skeleton: a female



Widely scattered
Ardipithecus ramidus – "ARDI"



TRATIONS © 2009, J. H. MATTERNES

Most complete skeleton older than Lucy

- 45% of the full skeleton: sets of teeth, part of underside of cranium, parts of several jaws, and some limb bones
- Canines less apelike than the older Ar. kadabba
- Not Australopithecus
- Similarities to Sahelanthropus
 Very early stage of human evolution



Savanna Hypothesis

Problem with savanna hypothesis?

Earliest bipeds all found at sites with forests



First there were the Australopiths: Then came Ardi...

- Tim White: Australopithecus can no longer be legitimately viewed as a short-lived transition between apes and humans. Rather, it represents an <u>adaptive plateau occupied for ~3 Ma</u> by up to four species of smallbrained African bipeds.
- Savanna hypothesis: Because <u>Australopithecus</u> is often found in open <u>environments</u>, hominid origins are frequently presented as the tale of a tropical forest ape forced to adapt to open savannas that expanded via global climate change. <u>Ardipithecus disrupts such given wisdom</u>.
- Note the importance of complete fossil finds. Ardi preserves so many anatomical parts—in such clear ecological context—that it transforms our understanding of early hominid evolution. It allows assessment of locomotion, diet, habitat preference, and even social behavior.



Fig. 2. Relative abundance of avian and small-mammal taxa. For each bird taxon, the pie slice and first number apply to the number of identified specimens (n = 263); the second (in parentheses) is the minimum number of individuals

represented in the overall sample. For small mammals, the numbers apply to the number of identified specimens only (n = 1127), but closely reflect the minimum number of individuals because only craniodental specimens are included.

Ardi surrounded by parrots and peacocks (= woodlands), and mice; few ducks = not near water

Abundance



Lots of kudus (antelope) = eats leaves from thickets; and monkeys - eat leaves = trees; few horses - eat grass; Conclusion: Ardi was in woodland

Hypotheses tested by Ardi:

- Bipedality evolved in savanna environment
- We evolved from a knuckle-walker
- Chimpanzees are good models for the 3 Falsified. Chimps have their last common ancestor we shared with own evolution them.

Ardi represents a major paradigm shift in terms of how and where we should look for a model for the last common ancestor

1 - Falsified. Lived in woodland

2 - Falsified. No KW features

Shows that today's chimpanzees are highly specialized/derived in their:

- Behavior ("demonic males": chimp male violence)
- Diet (fruit specialists)
- Locomotion (knucklewalkers)
- Habitat preferences (tropical forest)

The last common ancestor we shared with chimpanzees...was NOT like a chimpanzee.

LCA: ? of knuckle walking

Parsimony (simplest explanation)-based appeals to knuckle walking (KW) in contemporary African apes have been used to argue that this locomotor mode must have been the primitive condition for our LCA.

However, despite intensive searching of African, European, and Asian deposits, no compelling Miocene evidence of KW has so far been found

LCA: ? of knuckle walking

Many chimp adaptations must have evolved after chimps split with the hominid clade:

their territoriality and intergroup aggression, complex male alliances, strong intragroup competition and aggression linked to "advertised" female estrus, etc. are derived

Hominins appear to have emerged by developing a <u>search-intensive</u> terrestrial feeding niche, accompanied perhaps by food transport and sharing in less densely forested but still wooded areas.

Ardipithecus kadabba, 5.2-5.8 Ma

Ardipithecus kadabba

- Mostly known from the Western Margin of the Middle Awash study area
- One specimen from the Central Awash Complex





Ardipithecus ramidus is descendant of Ardipithecus kadabba

- A. ramidus: 4.4 Ma
- A. kadabba: 5.2-5.8 Ma
- <u>A. ramidus</u> has smaller canine than *A. kadabba*
- Anterior foramen magnum



4.5-4.3 million-year-old Hominid fossils from Gona, Ethiopia

copyright GPRP 2004

Grasping feet

Overview of human evolution

- Circa 4-7 Ma, Sahelanthropus, Orrorin, Ardipithecus
- Early hominins had <u>apelike teeth</u> (except Sahelanthropus) but were <u>bipedal</u> and lived in and around <u>forested woodlands</u> of eastern Africa
- One or more hominins lived in Africa over next few million years, most classified as <u>Australopithecus</u>
- Retained <u>apelike</u> features in some teeth and had ape-sized brains.
- Early hominins were both <u>bipedal and arboreal</u>

Overview of human evolution 2

- New fossils, Ardipithecus ramidus (4.4 MA) and Ar kadabba (5.2-5.8 MA) are fossils with <u>new mix of features</u> that is unlike Australopithecus and more like Sahelanthropus
- By 3 MA, stone tool technology & rapid diversification led to at least two distinct lines of hominin evolution.
 - Robust or Paranthropus
 - Gracile or Australopithecines
- One species of *Australopithecus* evolved into first members of *Homo* sometime between 2.5 million and 2 MA.

Overview of human evolution 3

- Hominins at this time had robust faces and less well-rounded skulls compared with moderns.
- Still <u>debate</u> about whether these "archaic" hominins are earlier stage of our own species or indicate more than one species.
- *H. erectus* in Africa by 2 MA essentially modern skeleton, full bipedal adaptations, much larger brain than earlier hominins.
 <u>First hominin to expand out of Africa.</u>
 Hunted, used fire, invented new form of general purpose stone tool known as <u>Acheulean hand axe</u>.



Slight body & large brain increase

First phase of hominin brain evolution: Australopithecines

About 7 Ma, first hominins became bipedal with brains about 1/3rd of modern size (400 cc). For the first two thirds of our history, the size of our ancestors' brains was within the range of those of apes living today.

Stone tools appear at 3.3 MA.

From 3-2.5 Ma, <u>small allometric</u> (related to body size increase) growth (450-500 cc, A. afarensis to A. africanus). Question of brain reorganization.

R. Holloway, 2009

First phase of hominin brain evolution: Australopithecines

Australopithecus afarensis (Lucy) had skulls with internal volumes of between 400 & 550 cc, whereas chimpanzee skulls hold around 400 cc & gorillas between 500 and 700 cc.

During this time, <u>Australopithecine brains started to show subtle</u> <u>changes in structure and shape as compared with apes</u>.

The neocortex had begun to expand, reorganizing its functions away from visual processing toward more forward regions of the brain.

Australopithecine Spelling Bees



Primitive spelling bees

2nd third

Next phase: last 2 million years

The final third of our evolution saw nearly all the action in brain size.

From 2.5-1.8 Ma, <u>rapid major growth</u> (750 cc, A. africanus to H. habilis); meat & fish consumption?; an expansion of Broca's area?

1.8-.5 Ma, small allometric (related to body) increase to 800-1000 cc (H. habilis to H. erectus); language development?

Next phase: last 2 million years

500-100 Ka, gradual and modest size increase, mostly nonallometric, 1200-1700 cc (H. erectus to H. heidelbergensis to H. neanderthalensis)

In the second second

Material culture only in last 100-200 Ka

Australopithecines: A 2 Million year span of existence

Genus Australopithecus has six, eight or eleven, species in it, depending on whether you are a splitter or lumper.

This was an astonishingly successful genus as far as evolution goes.

▶ The oldest is *A. anamensis*, at 4 Ma; youngest is *A. sediba, at* 1.9 Ma.

That's a life span of nearly two million years between these species.

A historical review of the Australopithecines (11 species)

1924: Taung - Australopithecus africanus

▶ 1947: Mrs. Ples – Australopithecus africanus

1948: Paranthropus robustus at Swartkrans

1959: Zinj - Paranthropus boisei at Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania

1974: Lucy - Australopithecus afarensis in Ethiopia

► 1985: *Paranthropus aethiopicus*

A historical review of the Australopithecines 2

► 1994: Australopithecus anamensis

1995: Abel - Australopithecus bahrelghazali

1997: Australopithecus garhi

1999: Kenyanthropus platyops

2008: Australopithecus sediba

2015: Australopithecus deviremeda

First Australopithecus afarensis find

Who found the first Australopithecus?

Louis Leakey found what he thought was a baboon tooth in 1935 at Laetoli and sent it to the British Museum.

Tim White identified it in 1979 as the first adult Australopithecus afarensis tooth ever found.

Don Johanson, 1974: Lucy – 3.2 Ma Australopithecus afarensis



42% of complete skeleton

Australopithecus afarensis (A. L. 288-1, "Lucy") Discoverer: Don Johanson Locality: Hadar, Ethiopia Date: 1974 Age 3.2 M





Australopithecus afarensis (L.H. 4, type specimen in 1978) Discoverer: Maundu Muluila Locality: Laetoli, Tanzania Date: 1974 Age 3.6 M

At CAS, 5/2/2018: Charlie discovers Don Johanson creeping around Lucy





Johanson & White made LH 4 the Type specimen for Australopithecus afarensis

Molars are twice size of human molars; and has thicker enamel



Bones of Contention: Don Johanson vs Mary Leakey

Mary Leakey discovered part of child's skeleton & 2 adult mandibles, some teeth at Laetoli, Tanzania.

Best mandible = LH4. It would become bone of contention. <u>She</u> thought bones were *Homo*. Tim White wrote them up, as a species of *Homo*.

Don Johanson, after Lucy find, discovered fossils in Hadar, Ethiopia (First Family) which looked very similar to Laetoli specimens. Looked different than Lucy. Originally thought they were Homo.

Bones of Contention

Tim White split from Richard Leakey and joined Johanson.

White changed his mind about the genus affiliation & then changed Johanson's mind. They lumped all fossils from Ethiopia & Laetoli together & decided both were <u>Australopithecines</u>. Mary & Richard did not agree.

▶ In 1978, Don & Tim decided to <u>announce a new name for them</u>.

Conference in Sweden in honor of Mary Leakey. First woman to receive both the Golden Linnaean Medal and a major embarrassment.

Bones of Contention 2

Johanson spoke before her & and announced the new name for Ethiopian species & he included in this species Mary Leakey's Laetoli discoveries & made LH4 the type specimen with new name, A. afarensis.

Don spoke at length of Laetoli discoveries, scooping Mary's own talk.

She was angered and embarrassed. Johanson had named her discoveries, using a genus she did not agree with.

Because he named them first, the name got taxonomic preference.

In Ethiopia, she is called *Dinkinesh*, meaning "You are marvelous."



Her skeleton is in the collection of the National Museum of Ethiopia in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Display of <u>A. afarensis specimens</u>
By 2009, 400 specimens (96 skulls)
Lots of repetition of same skeletal elements
First family, AL 333: 200 specimens, 13 individuals Afar,



Lucy

Location 333

Lucy redux: A review of research on *Australopithecus afarensis*", William H. Kimbel and Lucas K. Delezene, (2009)

Latest Lucy reconstruction







A. afarensis, Lucy, 1974 Science reconstruction, 2013

Laetoli, Tanzania: 3.6 Ma, Oldest human footprints



Sadiman booms and ash rains, as animals browse without fear and hominids travel northward beneath the volcano's cloud. Acacias, including whistling thorns with antinfested galls, stud the plain. The ash, dampened by the rainy season's first showers, captures the double trail of hominid tracks as well as those of elephants, guinea fowl, giraffes, hares, and ostriches. In the tropical heat the tracks dry rapidly and are soon covered with another shower of ash. The hominid prints indicate heights of about four feet and four feet eight inches, possibly a female and a male. Although depicted here together, the individuals may have journeyed separately.

Footprints in the Ashes of Time

1978: Laetoli <u>A. afare</u>



1978: Laetoli Footprints: A. afarensis, male and female, 3.6 M



88 feet long, 70 footprints; left foot of female

Left: Trail of footprints of A. afarensis made in volcanic ash, discovered by Mary Leakey at Laetoli.

Right: Closeup of footprint at Laetoli







Depth of foot prints

Modern human

Chimp - BKBH: Bent knee & bent hip

Laetoli: same basic features

Footprints at Laetoli are consistent with fully upright, human-like bipedal walking.

Dave Raichlen et al., 2018
Selam at Dikika, Ethiopia



The Greatest Mountaineer 42 Leopard Seals 68 South Texas Waltz 92 From Fins to Wings 110 It's a Frog's Life 136



Selam and Zeresenay Alemseged

2006: A. Afarensis, Dikika, "Selam", 3.3 Ma, 3 y o









2011: Shoulders



Did Australopithecus afarensis carve meat?

Evidence of Stone Tool Use and Meat-Eating in the Australopithecines: Dikika cut bone at 3.3 MA







nature

Did Austrolopithecu oforensis carve mea from this bone 3.4 million years ago

NUCLEAR WASTE Sorting out deep storage SHOOTING THE MESSENGER How microRNAs silence genes RUNNING THE NIH Francis Collins's to-do list

CATUREDOSS Secretaria by numbers

There were 12 marks on the two specimens

McPherron, S. P. et al. Nature no. 466, 2010, pp. 857-860



Australopithecus, Kadanuumuu: anatomically arranged elements of KSD-VP-1/1; Spatula below (B); similar to humans

Kadanuumuu "Big Man" in Afar

3.58 Ma

5"+





Fig. 3. X-rays of hominoid scapulas. (A) Modern human (CMNH-HTH-2450). (B) KS D-VP-1/1g. (C) Gorulla (CMNH-B-1730). (D) Pan (CMNH-B-3551). Each spearen has been scaled to the same approximate superoinferior glenoid height and aligned with its vertebral borderap proximately vertical. Note the uniqueness of Pan if a line is drawn connecting each specimer's superior and inferior angles (largely vertical in D). The human's glenoid angle is among the most superior in our sample (n = 21). All specimers, sive Pan, have similar glenoid orientations. Both Pan and Gorulla are distinguished from the hominids by their substantially greater inferiored approximate. KSD-VP-1/1g is most similar to humans. Pan is clearly the morphological outlier.

Contemporaneous Hominins by Region

Age (Ma)	North Africa	West Africa	East Africa	South Africa	Asia	Europe
~6		Sahelanthropus	Orrorin			
~5						
~4.5			Ardipithecus			
3.9			A. afarensis, A. anamensis			
3.5		A. bahrelghazali	A. afarensis, K. platyops	A. africanus		
2.5			A. garhi, A. aethiopicus	A. africanus		
2.5-2			P. boisei, A. garhi, Homo	A. africanus, P. robustus	H. erectus	
1.5-1			P. boisei, Homo	Homo, P. robustus	H. erectus	
15					H. erectus	H. heidelbergensis
.5					H. erectus	<i>H. neanderthalensis</i> Denisovans, Hobbits
.303	H. sapiens		H. sapiens	H. naledi	H. erectus	same

South African Australopithecines

Australopithecus africanus, Paranthropus, and Australopithecus robustus

South African sites in <u>very different geological context</u>

Found in caves, not open landscapes.

Fossils could not be dated reliably, until 2019



S. Africa breccia

South African Australopithecines 2

Mixed in with other animal bones in hardened rock and bone-laden concrete-hard cave fillings, or breccias.

Biostratigraphy: Most <u>dated by comparing remains of mammals (pig</u> molars) found in caves with faunal fossils found at better-dated sites in <u>East Africa</u>

The ages of the A. africanus-bearing breccias are estimated to be between <u>2.4 and 3 MA</u>.

- 2019: U–Pb-dated flowstones restrict South African early hominin record to dry climate phases, between <u>3.2 and 1.3 Ma</u>
- Uranium-lead (U-Pb) analyses of horizontally bedded layers of calcium carbonate (flowstone)
- 29 flowstones, from eight caves, and found that the flowstones all date to the same six narrow time windows. For example, 2 million years ago, all the important cave sites across the Cradle were closed – nothing was being washed into them – with flowstone forming inside them. This represents wetter periods and correspond to predominantly closed caves.

6 flowstone age groups: 3.1–2.8, 2.6–2.3, 2.2–2.1, 2.0–1.8, 1.6–1.4 and less than 1.3 Ma

Flowstones can only form <u>during times when it rains more</u>

- The sediments with the fossils in them inside the caves, are all sandwiched between flowstones. This pattern, flowstone-sedimentflowstone, is interpreted as a signal of these changing climates, with the sediments representing drier times.
- All the fossils accumulated during drier times; they are dry-adapted fauna.

- Implications for the interpretation of the South African hominin fossil record:
 - record is discontinuous; unresolvable gaps in the hominin record
 - discontinuities suggest that <u>changes within hominin lineages across</u> <u>sedimentary periods will appear punctuated</u>
 - makes it impossible to falsify hypotheses of punctuated equilibrium
 - ability to observe pivotal milestones that pertain to the origin of Homo and advances in tool technology are temporally restricted

- Record is biased towards representing drier-adapted plant and animal communities.
- Fossils during wettest periods are still missing as the caves were closed during speleothem formation; inability to observe behaviors during wet periods constrains our ability to evaluate hypotheses of hominin adaptation
- Some hominin taxa (for example, A. africanus, P. robustus and early Homo) are found during dry periods that unequivocally straddle wet periods, indicating either that these species were ecological generalists or that they vacated the Cradle landscape during wet periods only to return at a later, drier time.

East African Australopithecines

East Africa: A. afarensis, A. anamensis, A. bahrelghazali, A. garhi

Eastern discovery sites on the open landscape.

- Not necessarily places where hominins lived or camped;
- simply places where one or more hominin bones had accumulated.
- Maybe transported there by rainstorm runoff or was close to food cache or lair of a predator.



Most sites dated by isotope-dating methods of volcanic ash either in same horizon as fossil evidence is likely to have come from or in layers above and below fossilrich layer

Robust and Gracile



Gracile Australopithecines

Cranially Robust Australopithecines Paranthropus

(a) Name: Australopithecus robustus Also known as: Paranthropus robustus Specimen: SK 48 Age: 1.5-2.0 million years Found by: Fourie Location: Swartkrans, South Africa Color photo: Johanson et al. (1996) pages 108; 150

Species Time Range: ~1.0-2.0 Ma

b) Name: Australopithecus boisei Also known as: Paranthropus boisei Specimen: KNM-ER 406 Age: 1.7 million years Found by: Richard Leakey and H. Mutua Location: Koobi Fora, Kenya Color photo: Johanson et al. (1996) pages 54; 159; 160

Species Time Range: ~1.4-2.3 Ma

c) Name: Australopithecus aethiopicus Also known as: Paranthropus aethiopicus Specimen: KNM-WT 17000 (Black Skull) Age: 2.5 million years Found by: Alan C. Walker Location: Lake Turkana, Kenya Color photo: Johanson et al. (1996) pages 153; 154

Species Time Range: ~1.9-2.7 Ma









a) Name: Australopithecus africanus

Specimen: Sts 5

Species Time Range: ~3.9-4.2 Ma



Originally named as: Australopithecus ramidus Specimen: ARA-VP-1/128 Age: 4.4 million years Found by: T. Assebework Location: Aramis, Ethiopia Color photo of same species: Johanson et al. (1996) page 116





The Robust Australopithecines

- Only cranially robust (not body size)
- Aka Paranthropus
- Fibrous plants, hard object feeding
- Sagittal crest
- Large cheek teeth
- Flared zygomatic arch
- Dished face
- Extreme postorbital constriction
- Woodland and open woodland habitat



Gracile vs robust australopithecines

- ► Gracile: A. afarensis; "Lucy"
- Robust: Paranthropus larger "robust" mastication apparatus
- "Robust" australopithecines: <u>Paranthropus aethiopicus</u>, robustus & <u>boisei</u>
- Known as <u>robust</u> australopithecines <u>because their skulls are more</u> <u>heavily built and because they had huge</u>, broad cheek teeth with thick <u>enamel</u>.
- 'Robust' refers solely to tooth and face size, not to the body size of robust australopithecines.
- They have never been serious candidates for being direct human ancestors

Home of Robust & Gracile Australopithecines: South Africa's 5 Caves: Lots of species names

► Gracile fossils at older caves:

- ► <u>Taung</u>: Australopithecus africanus Dart
- Sterkfontein: Plesanthropus transvaalensis (A. africanus) Broom
- Makapansgat: Australopithecus prometheus Dart (fire in cave)
- Robust fossils at younger caves:
- Kromdraai: Paranthropus robustus Broom
- Swartkrans: Paranthropus crassidens (robustus)



1924: First *Australopithecus africanus*, Taung Child, 2.8 Ma; 3.3 years old, bipedal, <u>440 cc</u>, 1st African hominin First brain endocast to be discovered



Australopithecus africanus (Taung Child; type) Discoverer: M. de Bruyn, Robert Dart Date: 1924 Locality: Taung, S. Africa Age 2.8 M



Importance of Taung Child

Originally controversial (because of Piltdown): an ape

Refocused <u>origins of human question to Africa</u>

Clarified <u>what came first in human evolution</u>: bipedality, little brain; not large brain

Modern paleoanthropology was born in South Africa; produced one of largest assemblage of fossil hominins that we know of anywhere in the world.

Australopithecus africanus

- First known australopithecine (Dart 1925)
- ► Dated to <u>3.3-2.1 MA</u> in South Africa
- ► Cranial capacity: <u><500 cc</u>
- This species slightly <u>different from A.</u> <u>afarensis</u>: slightly taller, less facial prognathism, smaller teeth, slightly larger brain.
- First hominin endocasts
- One candidate for immediate ancestor to Homo



Endocasts can be formed naturally by sedimentation through the cranial foramina which becomes rock-hard due to calcium deposition over time

Taung child of S. Africa: a prey victim of an African eagle



Evidence of talon damage in eye sockets

Hominin Predation at Swartkans, S. Africa



<u>1983</u>: Brain realized that <u>most fossil assemblages</u> in the Cradle of Humankind <u>resulted from the</u> <u>accumulation of bones by predators and</u> <u>scavengers</u>. <u>Emphasized importance of predation</u> <u>in hominin history</u>: until recently, we were the hunted.





Hominins as prey: C. K. Brain: Hominin Predation at Swartkrans

SIGNS OF PREDATION Sometimes early humans were the prey. The teeth in the leopard's lower jaw match the puncture marks in the skull of this young Paranthropus.

...........

.....

Paranthropus robustus Juvenile, SK 54 Panthera pardus Leopard jaw

Brain endocasts & cranium of *A. africanus*, Sterkfontein, S. Africa; brains less than 500 cc





Australopithecus africanus in Caves

- No evidence that either A. africanus or P. robustus lived in the caves in which their fossils were found.
- Bones were:
 - dropped into cave openings by leopards,
 - brought into the caves by hyenas or porcupines.
 - fallen into cave
 - or entered and could not leave.

2.6-Million-year-old stone tools and associated bones from Gona, Afar, Ethiopia

No hominin remains were found in association with these Oldowan tools and they predate the oldest known remains of the genus *Homo*.

<u>These tools are unlikely to be evidence of the very first use of tools.</u>

<u>The use of tools in apes and monkeys can be</u> <u>used to argue in favor of tool-use as an ancestral</u> <u>feature of the hominin family.</u>



Figure 6. Drawings of artefacts (cores and whole flakes), excavated from EG10 and EG12. (1) unifacial chopper, EG10, (2) discoid, EG10, (3) unifacial side chopper, EG12, (4) unifacial end chopper, EG12, (5) partial (irregular discoid), EG12, (6) unifacial side chopper, EG10, (7) unifacial side chopper, EG12, (8–10) whole flakes, EG10.

Pre-Oldowan Tools: Now 3.3 Ma old stone tools: Lomekwian



The recent discovery of <u>stone tools, dated at 3.3</u> MA, was made <u>near Olduvai Gorge at the site</u> <u>Lomekwi 3</u>, situated to the west of Lake Turkana in Kenya.

The Lomekwian tools are <u>larger</u>; produced sharp flakes by pounding stones against a passive hammer or anvil, rather than through a freehand technique; <u>similar to nut-cracking activities of</u> chimpanzee stone tool-use behavior



Who made the first stone tools? Was it *Homo habilis*? Or the Australopithecines?

Now we have the Lomekwian stone tools at 3.3 Ma.

Closer to less developed chimpanzee technique of hammer-on-anvil than to the direct freehand percussion evident in Oldowan assemblages.

Also cut marks from stone tools on bones dated at 3.4 Ma at Dikika in Ethiopia (Zeray Alemseged's discovery).

Guess which species are around at that time in East Africa? The Australopithecines: A. afarensis, K. platyops and A. deyiremeda.

Clearly <u>Australopithecines used tools before Homo</u>.

1947: Sts 5, *A. transvaalensis*, then *Plesianthropus transvaalensis*, then *A. africanus*; Mrs. Ples (a male), 485 cc





Australopithecus africanus (STS 5) Discoverer: Robert Broom & John T. Robinson Date: 1947 Locality: Sterfontein Age 2.4 M

A. africanus: Mrs. Ples: Taung child as adult



- Adult
- Flaring zygotic arches
- associated with still fairly large canine roots.
- small supraorbital torus that's double arched here in the front.
- fairly small nasal aperture and not much evidence of an external projecting nose.



Sts 5 (pictured above) is the most complete Australopithecus africanus specimen in the fossil record

Mrs. Ples



 Post orbital constriction, corresponding to small brain

1938: *Paranthropus robustus*, Swartkrans; 1st robust australopithecine discovered



Paranthropus robustus (TM 1517)

Discoverer: Gert Terblanche

Date: 1938

Locality: Kromdraai, S. Africa

Age: 2 M

Olduvai Gorge



<u>1959</u>: Paranthropus boisei: Most famous Olduvai Gorge fossil; "Zinj": 1.8 M Disappointed Louis Leakey: "Why it's nothing but a god-damned robust australopithecine!"



1959: Zinj, OH5, 1st dated fossil



Paranthropus boisei (OH 5, type) Discoverer: Mary Leakey

The greatest significance of *Paranthropus boisei* is that its 1959 discovery convinced the scientific world that the place to look for the earliest humans is Africa



Zinj = startlingly old; 1.7 MA

Dating of Zinj rocked the anthropological world when age established at 1.75 Ma

Zinjanthropus, pushing back the then-accepted age of the Pleistocene by 1 million years.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY REPRELEY 4. CALLFORNIA

May 20, 1961

Dr. Louis S.B. Leakey, Curator, Coryndon Museum Nairobi, Kenya, East Africa

Dear Dr. Leakey:

The potassium-argon dating of the Olduvai fossils is progressing well, and though much remains to be done, the early results are so startling I thought you should know them at once.

Zinjanthropus and the "pre-Zinj" child are much, much older than anyone had suspected, except perhaps you and Mrs. Leakey. The average age of the samples my partner Dr. Jack Evernden and I have dated so far is 1,750,000 years.

Dr. Evernden and I believe that this date is close to the true age of Olduvai's early men, but that if anything it is slightly conservative.

One thing is certain -- Olduvai man is old, old, old!

Sincerely yours,

Garniss H. Curtis



Geologist Garniss H. Curtis, a professor emeritus of earth and planetary science at the University of California, Berkeley, whose pioneering use of radioactive isotopes to date relatively young rocks provided the first solid timeline for human evolution; Used potassium/argon method in volcanic rock

"His major contribution was putting numbers on the timescale of human evolution."


Boisei is no longer "Nutcracker Man"; ate grasses and sedges

Paranthropus boisei: Sexual dimorphism



OH 5, male

KNM-ER 732, female

1960, Leakey: Homo habilis and stone tools at Olduvai Gorge

- Finds made by Louis and Mary Leakey at Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania, claimed they had discovered the first stone tools, chronologically dated to around <u>1.85 Ma</u>
- ► The Oldowan, Mode 1 type,
- 2.6 to 1.7 Ma

Associated with H. habilis, early H. erectus in Dmanisi & Asia



(Toth & Schick, 2013).

ER 406 (P. boisei) & ER 3733 (H. ergaster): Both 1.7 MA in Koobi Fora, Turkana Basin in northern Kenya



ER 406 P. boisei and ER 3733 H. ergaster. Photo credit: Roberto Sáez

- These finds were important because they broke the 'single species hypothesis' in human evolution.
- According to this principle, <u>only one species can inhabit a specific ecological niche</u>. Those two specimens coexisted, but they were <u>really different in terms of morphology, cranial capacity and</u> <u>type of resources consumed</u>
- Their coexistence has made the <u>assignment of the postcranial fossils to either one or the other species</u> very difficult

Australopithecus (Paranthropus) robustus, 2-1 MA





Distribution: S. Africa Diet: Roots and tough fibrous vegetable matter Cranial capacity: 500 cc

"Extreme" Australopithecines!

- Bipedal
- ► Bigger bodies: 40 –70 kg
- Cranial capacity: 530 cc (Chimp = 400cc)
- Very sexually dimorphic: males twice as bulky as females
- Sagittal crest
- Robust facial bones
- Small incisors and large molars
- Dish-shaped/flat face w/ flaring zygomatic arches (cheek bones)
- Molarization of premolars and reduction of incisors and canines (post-canine megadontia)
- Big teeth, huge jaws and strong chewing muscles anchored to a skull crest helped P. robustus chew fibrous grasses and roots. Chew, chew, chew...
- Less exaggerated features than P. boisei

Paranthropus Behavior

- Recent studies of P. boise's dental microwear and stable isotope composition indicate that their diet was limited to a C4-based plants (grasses & sedges).
- Paranthropus disappears from the fossil record sometime between 1.4 and 0.9 Ma, after a geologic lifespan of just over a million years
- The cause(s) of their extinction is a mystery.
- Early notions that they had become too specialized to cope with changing environmental conditions have been strongly challenged.
- Competition with Homo is plausible, but indisputable evidence for either direct or indirect interaction between the two genera has yet to be discovered.

Constantino, P. J. (2013) The "Robust" Australopiths. Nature Education Knowledge 4(1):1

Concept of Fallback foods

Another story that has emerged in the past decade, is the <u>concept of</u> <u>fallback foods</u>.

The large structures of the jaw itself may not be specifically evolved for the primary food it eats, but rather for occasional fallback foods; food that helps you survive when what you normally eat isn't available.

So one interpretation has been that the fallback foods are playing important role in the morphology as well.

Dietary conclusions

- The <u>dentition of chimps and gorillas</u> reflects differences in fallback resources rather than preferred foods.
- Chimps and gorillas <u>usually eat fruit</u> in forested tropics; but <u>gorillas can</u> fall back on lower quality food when fruit unavailable; chimps look harder for fruit (or meat)
- Therefore, the dental specializations of early hominins, in particular the enlargement of the postcanine dentition, reduction of the incisors and canines, and the low crowns of the molar teeth probably were adaptations to fallback diet.
- This would be characteristic of <u>fallback foods</u> eaten at <u>times of resource</u> <u>scarcity</u>, and would evidently have consisted of <u>hard</u>, <u>brittle food items</u> that could be effectively <u>pulverized and ground by low-crowned teeth with</u> <u>large surface areas and thick enamel</u>.

Fallback foods

And it's possible that the fallback foods for *boisei*, even though it might have been eating grass, was something that was a little bit harder, that required a stronger peak chewing force to crush and digest.

P. boisei was the <u>Cow of the Pleistocene</u>: boisei was primarily eating grasses. It survived by eating these very low-quality foods.

Could some of those fallback foods have been those very seeds and nuts that were originally thought to be the primary food for *boisei* and the other robust lineages?



2014 study: Challenges ideas of dentition and diet

P. boisei, the apex of jaw robusticity, presents molar microwear suggesting that it processed <u>hard foods less frequently than</u> the closely related but less-specialized P. robustus, who has evidence of at least seasonal hard-object feeding.

The dentition of P. boisei cannot be explained by a fallback food scenario.

Instead, the remarkable jaws of *P. boisei* probably reflect regular consumption of items that required intensive postcanine processing, resulting in masticatory stresses that exceeded those experienced by *P. robustus*.

Dentition and diet

The microwear signatures of P. boisei, Australopithecus anamensis, and Australopithecus afarensis are striking in their <u>uniform lack of</u> <u>evidence for consumption of very hard or very tough items</u>.

Microwear data reject the idea of frequent hard-object feeding in P. boisei; this species must have masticated considerably tougher foods on a regular basis.

Increases in jaw robusticity from Ardipithecus to Australopithecus to P. boisei reflect progressively greater reliance on tough, probably C4 foods and concomitantly elevated masticatory stresses resulting from extended bouts of milling and grinding. Food now known to be grasses and sedges.

Robust australopithecine behavior



Digging sticks used by modern chimpanzees.

Pointed sticks have been found with robust australopithecine fossils

- Omnivores, but also could chew harder foods (nuts, roots, seeds)
- Probably used tools (bones/horns showing polishing, maybe used for digging up roots)
- Lived in (open) woodlands and savannas
- Evolutionary dead end

Robustus in Swartkrans: bones with lots of scratches (replication indicates most similar to digging at termite mounds)



Fig. 1. Wear pattern on Swartkrans and experimental bone tool tips photographed in transmitted light from transparent resin replicas. (a) Bone tool from Swartkrans Member 3 (SIC 38830). (b) Tip of a tool used in Brain's experiment (7) to dig up *SiMa margina*ta bulbs. (c) Experimental bone tool used to dig the ground in search of tubers and lanse. (d) Experimental bone tool used to dig in a termite mound. Note the similarity in the orientation and the width of the strations in a and d. (Scale Bard, Zmm), Related figures are published as supplemental data on the PNAS website, www.pnas.org: Fig. 4, Swartkrans bone tools; Fig. 5, use of a bone tool to dig a termite mound; and Fig. 6, wear patterns as in a and d' above.



+ 23 other bone tools with scratches

12/6/2017: 'Little Foot' makes public debut 20 years after discovery



"Little Foot": a near-complete fossil hominin skeleton dating back <u>3.67 Ma</u>; <u>oldest</u> <u>fossil hominin skeleton ever found in South Africa</u>; *Australopithecus prometheus*, which was named back in 1948 from fragmentary fossils.

December 7, 2017: Exhibition of Little Foot



Relatively <u>small stature</u> and **certain skull features** suggest it was probably <u>a female of advanced age</u>, with a brain size of about <u>408 ccs</u>; **suffered a forearm injury** early in life, and her relatively long legs, in proportion to her arms, suggest **she probably walked upright**

A. prometheus ??

Clarke says Little Foot's features most closely match A. prometheus, a species proposed in 1948 by anthropologist Raymond Dart

Clarke argues that a number of features differentiate Little Foot—and at least a dozen other nearby fossils—from A. africanus. These include larger, flatter faces with a wider distance between eye sockets; larger canines and forward-tilting incisors; larger mandibles; and slightly concave foreheads. Differences in teeth wear indicate A. africanus was omnivorous, whereas Little Foot and her kin were mostly vegetarian, Clarke argues. Together, he says, that suggests *two* species of hominins were living near the caves some 3 million years ago.

Little foot virtual brain



Virtual rendering of the brain endocast of "Little Foot," possibly a new species of Australopithecus. M. Lotter and R.J. Clarke/Wits University

- More ape-like than human. Little Foot's visual cortex, in particular, took up a greater proportion of its brain than that area does in the human brain. It's visual cortex, in particular, was larger compared to later Australopithecus brains
- Was asymmetrical, with slightly differing protrusions on each side; indicates that *Australopithecus* had brain lateralization, meaning that the two sides of its brain performed different functions.
- The finding means that brain lateralization evolved very early in the primate lineage.

P. aethiopicus, "Black Skull", from west side of Lake Turkana;
<u>black because stained from manganese dioxide in sediments</u>;
2.5 Ma; *P. aethiopicus* is the first evidence we have of the beginning of this robust lineage of australopithecines



"Black Skull" (KNM-WT 17000)



Paranthropus aethiopicus KNM WT 17000, Black Skull, 2.5 Ma





- P. aethiopicus:
- 2.7 to 2.3 Ma
- Ethiopia, Kenya
- prominent skull crest, big jaws
- massive teeth

Australopithecus aethiopicus (KNM-WT 17000, Black skull) Discoverer: Alan C. Walker Locality: Lake Turkana, Kenya Age: 2.5 M Date 1985



mix of primitive and advanced features; described by some as a nearly perfect intermediate between *A. afarensis* and *P. boisei.*

1994: *Australopithecus anamensis*: <u>Oldest Australopithecine</u> 4.2-3.9 Ma, biped



Oldest Australopithecine

The teeth of *Australopithecus anamensis* are markedly apelike (large canines, parallel tooth rows)

May be earliest incontrovertible evidence of bipedalism

Possible obligate biped

Tim White: Early Australopithecus (4.2-3.0 Ma): <u>A. anamensis \rightarrow A. afarensis</u> = 1 species lineage, arbitrarily divided = "2 chronospecies"

<u>Ardipithecus $\rightarrow \rightarrow A$. Anamensis $\rightarrow \rightarrow A$. Afarensis</u>



Family relations.

A jawbone of Lucy's species (*left*) resembles that of its ancestor, *Australopithecus anamensis* (*center*), compared to a modern chimp (*right*).

CREDIT: 2005 DAVID L. BRILL/BRILL ATLANTA

- Many researchers had suspected: that Lucy's species, <u>Australopithecus afarensis</u>, evolved from a 4 Ma <u>A</u>. <u>anamensis</u>.
- Propose that the <u>older Ardipithecus</u>, whose bones were found closer to the base of the rock layers, was the most likely ancestor of *A. anamensis* and all later australopithecines. Thus, they claim a three-part evolutionary series of human ancestors in a single river valley.
- Many are <u>convinced that A. anamensis is ancestral to A.</u> <u>afarensis</u>, which ranged across east Africa from 3 to 3.6 Ma.
- But some <u>aren't sure about Ardipithecus as direct</u> <u>ancestor of australopithecines</u>. It has been postulated but not demonstrated

1997: Australopithecus garhi Tim White & Berhane Asfaw, 2.5 MA; Stone tools



Australopithecus garhi

Australopithecus garhi (BOU-VP-12/130)

Discoverer: Y. Halle-Selassie Locality: Bouri, Ethiopia Date 1997



- The very large teeth in this partial skull suggest that A. garhi may have descended from one of the other Australopithecus species, likely A. afarensis.
- Very prognathic face
- Large teeth
- **Glabellar projection**
- Small cranial capacity
- A. garhi dates to the period of the earliest known stone tools, and the remains of A. garhi are associated with antelope bones with cut marks that are from stone tools.

Hypothetical ancestry



1999: <u>Kenyanthropus platyops</u>, 3.5 Ma Maeve Leakey (granddaughter of Louis)



<u>Kenyanthropus platyops</u> (KNM-WT 40000)

Discoverer: Justus Erus Locality: Lomekwi, West Turkana, Keny Date: 1999 Age: 3.5 M



Fossil skull is highly fragmented and the individual pieces are greatly distorted. Cranium is deformed by many matrix-filled cracks that permeate the face and rest of cranium. Tim White: *A. afarensis*

2001: *Kenyanthropus platyops:* in West Turkana, Maeve Leakey discovers *Kenyanthropus* = human ancestor?; Tim White disagrees = *A. afarensis*









2008: *Australopithecus sediba, 1.98 Ma,* Malapa Cave, South Africa



Brett Eloff, via Lee Berger and the University of the Witwatersrand



Australopithecus sediba (LH1, type, cranium) Discoverer: Matthew Berger Locality: Malapa Cave, South Africa Cranial Capacity: <u>420–450</u> cc



MH1 and MH2





Later





A. afarensis

P. boisei

H. neanderthalensis

H. sapiens



Mitochondrial Eve and Y-chromosomal Adam

- Because mitochondrial DNA are transferred from the mother to her offspring unchanged, scientists can use the variation in mitochondrial DNA across modern humans to estimate a rate of mutations (one every 3,500 years) and estimate a time back to a common ancestor who lived around 200,000 years ago. Mitochondrial Eve (Haplogroup L) in Africa
- Because Y-chromosomes are transferred from father to son unchanged, we can trace our ancestry using this DNA sequence. Using a survey of Y-chromosomes from all over the world and a reconstruction of ancestral Y-chromosome DNA from reversing mutated DNA segments, we can estimate that all men had a common ancestor: Y-DNA Haplogroup A, also known as Y-chromosome Adam, is the father of all human males, and is estimated to be 254,000 ybp
- All men except Albert Perry



Value of doing family genealogy

Albert Perry was an African-American, b. c 1827, who lived in South Carolina. A few years ago, one of his female relatives, Jacqueline Johnson, in 2008, submitted a <u>sample of his descendant's DNA</u> to a company called Family Tree DNA for genealogical analysis.

When Family Tree DNA's technicians tried to place Perry on the Ychromosome family tree, they just couldn't. <u>His Y chromosome was like no</u> <u>other so far analyzed.</u>

Perry did not descend from the genetic Adam. In fact, his Y chromosome was so distinct that his male lineage probably separated from all others about 338,000 years ago. (Some debate: 208-307, concurrent with emergence of AMHs)

Examination of an African database of nearly 6000 Y chromosomes found <u>similarities between Perry's and those</u> in samples taken from <u>11 men</u>, all living in <u>one village of Mbo people</u> in Cameroon. This may indicate where in Africa Perry's ancestors hailed from.

Value of doing family genealogy



Stone tool modes

- Mode 1: pebble core round rock, split off flacks
- Mode 2: large cutting tools (LCT) scaled up versions of 1, Hummer
- Mode 3: prepared cores split off from core, broad & thin, sharp
- Mode 4: prismatic blades long, thin narrow stretch of version 3
- Mode 5: geometric microliths miniaturization, tiny versions of 4; glued to shafts to make complex tools; tips of arrow
- Mode 6: ground stone tools edges ground by abrasion, sharp cut

But <u>complexity of stone tools is not perfect match for complexity of toolmaker</u>; 5 year olds can do Mode 3

Acheulean



Acheulean: associated with *H. erectus & H. heidelbergensis*

Middle Stone Age: Mode 3, prepared core, Mousterian

The Mousterian is knapping or reductive type site in the Let

- Levallois, or preit was first recogni core so that a nun
- One of the main ir that was carefully size and shape cc probably raised th technology.
- Middle Stone Age to shafts to make spears.



of a method of stoneechnique, named after the , France

the suburb in Paris where paration of a rough stone appendix appen

technique," was <u>a core</u> lake of predetermined low. This technique d predictability in stone

which could be hafted on
Upper Paleolithic Tools



Associated with *H. sapiens*

Châtelperronian Tools made by Neandertals



Stone Tools Origins

Conventional wisdom in human evolutionary studies has assumed that the origins of hominin sharp-edged stone tool production were linked to the emergence of the genus *Homo* in response to climate change and the spread of savannah grasslands.

In 1964, fossils looking more like later Homo than australopithecines were discovered at Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania) in association with the earliest known stone tool culture, the Oldowan, and so were assigned to the new species: Homo habilis or 'handy man'.

The premise was that our lineage alone took the cognitive leap of hitting stones together to strike off sharp flakes and that this was the foundation of our evolutionary success.

Stone Tools Origins

- Subsequent discoveries pushed back the date for the first Oldowan stone tools to 2.6 million years ago (Ma) and the earliest fossils attributable to early *Homo* to only 2.4–2.3 Ma, opening up the possibility of tool manufacture by hominins other than *Homo* before 2.6 Ma.
- The earliest known artefacts from the sites of Gona (~2.6 Ma), Hadar (2.36 Ma), and Omo (2.34 Ma) in Ethiopia, and especially Lokalalei 2C (2.34 Ma) in Kenya, demonstrate that these hominin knappers already had considerable abilities in terms of planning depth, manual dexterity and raw material selectivity.

Cut-marked bones from Dikika, Ethiopia, dated at 3.39 Ma, has added to speculation on pre-2.6-Ma hominin stone tool use. It has been argued that percussive activities other than knapping, such as the pounding and/or battering of plant foods or bones, could have been critical components of an even earlier, as-yet-unrecognized, stage of hominin stone tool use

1.9 M & 2.4 Ma artifacts and stone tool–cutmarked bones from Ain Boucherit, Algeria

- The strongest evidence of early humans having butchered animals in North Africa
 - East Africa has provided the earliest known evidence for Oldowan stone artifacts and hominin induced stone tool cutmarks dated to ~2.6 million years ago (Ma).
- New report <u>older stone artifacts and cutmarked bones excavated from two nearby</u> <u>deposits at Ain Boucherit estimated to ~ 1.9 Ma, and the older to ~2.4 Ma.</u>
- Hence, the Ain Boucherit evidence shows that ancestral <u>hominins inhabited the</u> <u>Mediterranean fringe in Northern Africa much earlier than previously thought</u>. The evidence strongly argues for early dispersal of stone tool manufacture and use from East Africa, or a possible multiple origin scenario of stone technology in both East and North Africa.

Mohamed Sahnouni et al., 2018

July 2018: Chinese stone tools dated to 2.1 Ma; Shangchen, Lantian region, China



One of the 2.1 million-year-old artifacts, right, recovered from a gully in western China, left, suggest that hominins may have left Africa far earlier than previously believed. Zhaoyu Zhu



Nina Jablonski: Skin Color & Hair & Human Evolution



Light skin has only developed in Northern latitudes in last 10 Ka

Living Color: The Biological and Social Meaning of Human Skin Color by Nina Jablonski

OLLI workshop on Human Evolution: The Genus Homo – Discoveries since 1960

- This course will briefly review the <u>Genus Homo in Human Evolution</u>; it will focus on all the major *Homo* species, including *Homo habilis, Homo erectus, Homo neanderthalensis, Homo denisova and Homo sapiens,* as well as *Homo floresiensis and Homo naledi.* We will also review the new field of paleogenetics.
- Month 1: Review of Pre-*Homo* evolution
- Month 2: A Historical Biographical Review of Paleoanthropology from 1960 to present
- Month 3: Homo habilis
- Month 4: *Homo erectus*
- Month 5: Homo heidelbergensis
- Month 6: Homo neanderthalensis 1
- Month 7: Homo neanderthalensis, Homo Denisova 2
- Month 8: *Homo floresiensis*
- Month 9: *Homo naledi*
- Month 10: *Homo sapiens*
- Month 11: Dec 25 No class
- Month 12: Evolution of the human brain
- Month 13: Paleogenetics 1
- Month 14: Paleogenetics 2

Downloads

www.charlesjvellaphd.com

- Lecture Pdfs in:
 - ► 2018 OLLI: Human Evolution: The First 150 Years of Discovery